

Existence of weak solutions for a diffuse interface model for a two-phase flow with degenerate mobility

Daniel Depner (joint with Helmut Abels and Harald Garcke)

Fakultät für Mathematik
Universität Regensburg

June 2012

12. International Conference on Free Boundary Problems



Contents

- 1 Navier-Stokes/Cahn-Hilliard phase field model
- 2 Weak solutions
- 3 Inclusion of a degenerate mobility

Basics of the model

Different Navier-Stokes/Cahn-Hilliard models

- “Model H” of Halperin and Hohenberg,
- Lowengrub and Truskinovsky,
- Ding, Spelt and Shu,
- Abels, Garcke and Grün,
- Aki, Dreyer, Giesselmann and Kraus.

Basis of the model in Abels, Garcke and Grün

Mixture of two fluids filling a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ with

- mass densities ρ_j , total mass density $\rho = \rho_1 + \rho_2$,
- specific constant mass densities $\tilde{\rho}_j$, volume fraction $\varphi_j = \frac{\rho_j}{\tilde{\rho}_j}$,
- velocities \mathbf{v}_j , volume averaged velocity $\mathbf{v} = \varphi_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \varphi_2 \mathbf{v}_2$.

Conservation laws of mass, species, momentum with stress tensors, then rational continuum mechanics.

The model

Navier-Stokes/Cahn-Hilliard phase field model

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t(\rho \mathbf{v}) + \operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) - \operatorname{div}(2\eta(\varphi)D\mathbf{v}) + \nabla p \\ & - \operatorname{div}\left(\mathbf{v} \otimes \frac{\tilde{\rho}_2 - \tilde{\rho}_1}{2} m(\varphi) \nabla \mu\right) = -\varepsilon \hat{\sigma} \operatorname{div}(\nabla \varphi \otimes \nabla \varphi), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = 0, \quad (2)$$

$$(\partial_t \varphi + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \varphi) = \operatorname{div}(m(\varphi) \nabla \mu), \quad (3)$$

$$\mu = \frac{\hat{\sigma}}{\varepsilon} \Psi'(\varphi) - \varepsilon \hat{\sigma} \Delta \varphi. \quad (4)$$

Remark

Smooth solutions in $Q = \Omega \times (0, \infty)$ with suitable boundary conditions fulfill the energy estimate

$$\frac{d}{dt} E_{\text{tot}}(\varphi(t), \mathbf{v}(t)) + \int_Q 2\eta(\varphi) |D\mathbf{v}|^2 + \int_Q m(\varphi) |\nabla \mu|^2 = 0.$$

Reformulation of the model

Setting $\hat{\sigma} = \varepsilon = 1$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{J}} = -\frac{\tilde{\rho}_2 - \tilde{\rho}_1}{2} m(\varphi) \nabla \mu$ and identifying gradient terms we get we get:

Navier-Stokes/Cahn-Hilliard phase field model (short)

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t(\rho \mathbf{v}) + \operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) - \operatorname{div}(2\eta(\varphi) D\mathbf{v}) + \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{v} \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{J}}) + \nabla g \\ = \mu \nabla \varphi, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = 0, \quad (6)$$

$$\partial_t \varphi + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \varphi = \operatorname{div}(m(\varphi) \nabla \mu), \quad (7)$$

$$\mu = \Psi'(\varphi) - \Delta \varphi. \quad (8)$$

Assumptions on Ψ

Assumptions on the homogenous free energy density

For the free energy density $f(\varphi, \nabla\varphi) = \frac{\varepsilon\hat{\sigma}}{2} |\nabla\varphi|^2 + \frac{\hat{\sigma}}{\varepsilon} \Psi(\varphi)$ we assume that $\Psi \in C([-1, 1]) \cap C^2((-1, 1))$ fulfills

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \pm 1} \Psi'(s) = \pm\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi''(s) \geq -\kappa \quad \text{for some } \kappa \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Extend Ψ through $\Psi(s) = \infty$ if $s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [-1, 1]$.

Example

$$\Psi(s) = \frac{\theta}{2} ((1+s) \ln(1+s) + (1-s) \ln(1-s)) - \frac{\theta_c}{2} s^2.$$

The model

Navier-Stokes/Cahn-Hilliard phase field model (full)

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t(\rho \mathbf{v}) + \operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) - \operatorname{div}(2\eta(\varphi)D\mathbf{v}) + \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{v} \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{J}}) + \nabla g \\ = \mu \nabla \varphi, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = 0, \quad (10)$$

$$\partial_t \varphi + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \varphi = \operatorname{div}(m(\varphi)\nabla \mu), \quad (11)$$

$$\mu + \kappa \varphi = \Psi'_0(\varphi) - \Delta \varphi, \quad (12)$$

in $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$ with boundary and initial conditions

$$\mathbf{v} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty), \quad (13)$$

$$\partial_n \varphi = \partial_n \mu = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty), \quad (14)$$

$$(\mathbf{v}, \varphi)|_{t=0} = (\mathbf{v}_0, \varphi_0) \quad \text{in } \Omega. \quad (15)$$

Definition and existence of weak solutions

Weak solution

Let $0 < T < \infty$, $\mathbf{v}_0 \in L^2_\sigma(\Omega)$, $\varphi_0 \in H^1(\Omega)$ with $\Psi'(\varphi_0) \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $m \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$, $\eta \in C^0(\mathbb{R})$ with $0 < m_0 \leq m(s)$, $\eta(s) \leq C$ be given. Then a triple $(\mathbf{v}, \varphi, \mu)$ is called a weak solution of (9)-(15) if it has the following regularity

$$\mathbf{v} \in L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega)^d) \cap BC_w([0, T]; L^2_\sigma(\Omega)),$$

$$\varphi \in L^2(0, T; H^2(\Omega)) \cap BC_w([0, T]; H^1(\Omega)) \text{ with } \Psi'(\varphi) \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)),$$

$$\mu \in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))$$

and if the following equations are fulfilled.

Definition and existence of weak solutions

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{\Omega_T} \rho \mathbf{v} \cdot \partial_t \psi + \int_{\Omega_T} \operatorname{div} (\rho \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) \cdot \psi + \int_{\Omega_T} 2\eta(\varphi) D\mathbf{v} : \nabla \psi \\ & = \int_{\Omega_T} \mu \nabla \varphi \cdot \psi + \int_{\Omega_T} (\mathbf{v} \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{J}}) : \nabla \psi \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

for all $\psi \in [C_0^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T))]^d$ with $\operatorname{div} \psi = 0$ and

$$- \int_{\Omega_T} \varphi \partial_t \zeta + \int_{\Omega_T} (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \varphi) \zeta = - \int_{\Omega_T} m(\varphi) \nabla \mu \cdot \nabla \zeta \quad (17)$$

for all $\zeta \in C_0^\infty((0, T); C^\infty(\bar{\Omega}))$,

$$\mu + \kappa \varphi = \Psi'_0(\varphi) - \Delta \varphi \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega \text{ and} \quad (18)$$

Definition and existence of weak solutions

$$(\mathbf{v}, \varphi)|_{t=0} = (\mathbf{v}_0, \varphi_0). \quad (19)$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\text{tot}}(\varphi(t), \mathbf{v}(t)) + \int_{\Omega(s,t)} 2\eta(\varphi) |D\mathbf{v}|^2 + \int_{\Omega(s,t)} m(\varphi) |\nabla\mu|^2 \\ \leq E_{\text{tot}}(\varphi(s), \mathbf{v}(s)) \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

holds for all $t \in [s, T)$ and almost all $s \in [0, T)$ (including $s = 0$).

Theorem

Under the above assumptions there exists a weak solution $(\mathbf{v}, \varphi, \mu)$ of (9)-(15).

Existence

Sketch of Proof

Let $(\mathbf{v}_n, \varphi_n, \mu_n)$ with initial values $(\mathbf{v}_{n,0}, \varphi_{n,0})$ be solutions of (16)-(18), which fulfill the energy estimate (20). This leads to the bounds:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}_n &\text{ is bounded in } L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)^d) \cap L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)^d), \\ \varphi_n &\text{ is bounded in } L^\infty(0, T; H^1(\Omega)) \text{ and} \\ \nabla \mu_n &\text{ is bounded in } L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)^d). \end{aligned}$$

Remark

Approximate solutions are constructed by an implicit time discretization.

Existence

There exist $(\mathbf{v}, \varphi, \mu)$ as in the definition of weak solution, such that

Limits

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathbf{v}_n, \varphi_n, \mu_n) &\rightharpoonup (\mathbf{v}, \varphi, \mu) && \text{in } L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)^d \times H^1(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega)), \\(\mathbf{v}_n, \varphi_n) &\rightharpoonup^* (\mathbf{v}, \varphi) && \text{in } L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)^d \times H^1(\Omega)), \\(\varphi_n, \rho_n) &\rightarrow (\varphi, \rho) && \text{in } L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega)), \\ \mathbf{v}_n &\rightarrow \mathbf{v} && \text{in } L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)^d).\end{aligned}$$

With this limits

- Limit in the equations for $(\mathbf{v}_n, \varphi_n, \mu_n)$ to get (16)-(18),
- Limit in the initial conditions to get $(\mathbf{v}, \varphi)|_{t=0} = (\mathbf{v}_0, \varphi_0)$.

If the approximate solutions arise as solutions of an implicit time discretization, then:

- Limit in a linearly extended version of the discrete energy estimate. (\square)

Degenerate mobility

We consider now the following problem

Navier-Stokes/Cahn-Hilliard phase field model (with deg. mobility)

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t(\rho \mathbf{v}) + \operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) - \operatorname{div}(2\eta(\varphi)D\mathbf{v}) + \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{v} \otimes \alpha \mathbf{J}) + \nabla g \\ = -\Delta\varphi \nabla\varphi \quad (NSE), \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = 0, \quad (22)$$

$$\partial_t\varphi + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla\varphi = -\operatorname{div} \mathbf{J}, \quad (23)$$

$$\mathbf{J} = -m(\varphi)\nabla\mu, \quad (24)$$

$$\mu = \Psi'(\varphi) - \Delta\varphi. \quad (25)$$

Here the homogeneous free energy Ψ is smooth and the mobility m is given through

$$m(\varphi) = \begin{cases} 1 - \varphi^2, & \text{if } |\varphi| \leq 1, \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Degenerate mobility

Weak formulation for unknowns $(\mathbf{v}, \varphi, \mathbf{J})$:

(NSE) weak as before ,

$$\int_{\Omega_T} \varphi \partial_t \zeta + \int_{\Omega_T} (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \varphi) \zeta = \int_{\Omega_T} \mathbf{J} \cdot \nabla \zeta$$

for all $\zeta \in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)) \cap H^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$ and

$$\int_{\Omega_T} \mathbf{J} \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta} = \int_{\Omega_T} (\Psi'(\varphi) - \Delta \varphi) \operatorname{div}(m(\varphi)\boldsymbol{\eta})$$

for all $\boldsymbol{\eta} \in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)^d) \cap L^\infty(\Omega_T)^d$.

The last line is a weak version of

$$\mathbf{J} = -m(\varphi) \nabla (\Psi'(\varphi) - \Delta \varphi) .$$

Degenerate mobility

Approximate the mobility $m(\varphi)$ by a positive mobility $m_\varepsilon(\varphi)$ and set

$$\Psi_\varepsilon(\varphi) = \Psi(\varphi) + \varepsilon \hat{\Psi}(\varphi), \text{ where}$$

$$\hat{\Psi}(\varphi) = \frac{\theta}{2} ((1 + \varphi) \ln(1 + \varphi) + (1 - \varphi) \ln(1 - \varphi)) .$$

Then we consider the following approximate problem

$$(NSE)_\varepsilon \text{ and } \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = 0, \text{ where } \mathbf{J}_\varepsilon = -m_\varepsilon(\varphi) \nabla \mu, \quad (26)$$

$$\partial_t \varphi + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \varphi = \operatorname{div}(m_\varepsilon(\varphi) \nabla \mu), \quad (27)$$

$$\mu = \Psi'_\varepsilon(\varphi) - \Delta \varphi. \quad (28)$$

Let $(\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon, \varphi_\varepsilon, \mu_\varepsilon)$ be a weak solution to this problem with $\mathbf{J}_\varepsilon = -m_\varepsilon(\varphi_\varepsilon) \nabla \mu_\varepsilon$. Note that here already $|\varphi_\varepsilon| < 1$ a.e. .

Case $\mathbf{v} = 0$ (Cahn-Hilliard equation): Elliott and Garcke 1996.

Degenerate mobility

Lemma (Energy estimates for the approximate solutions)

$$(a) \operatorname{esssup}_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{\Omega} \left(\rho(\varphi_{\varepsilon}(t)) \frac{|\mathbf{v}_{\varepsilon}(t)|^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}(t)|^2 + \Psi_{\varepsilon}(\varphi_{\varepsilon}(t)) \right) + \int_{\Omega_T} 2\eta(\varphi_{\varepsilon}) |D\mathbf{v}_{\varepsilon}|^2 + \int_{\Omega_T} m_{\varepsilon}(\varphi_{\varepsilon}) |\nabla \mu_{\varepsilon}|^2 \leq C, \quad (29)$$

$$(b) \operatorname{esssup}_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{\Omega} M_{\varepsilon}(\varphi_{\varepsilon}(t)) + \int_{\Omega_T} (|\Delta \varphi_{\varepsilon}|^2 + \Psi_{\varepsilon}''(\varphi_{\varepsilon}) |\nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}|^2) \leq C, \quad (30)$$

$$(c) \varepsilon^3 \int_{\Omega_T} |\hat{\Psi}'(\varphi_{\varepsilon})|^2 \leq C, \quad (31)$$

$$(d) \int_{\Omega_T} |\mathbf{J}_{\varepsilon}|^2 \leq C. \quad (32)$$

Here we set $M_{\varepsilon}''(u) = \frac{1}{m_{\varepsilon}(u)}$ with $M_{\varepsilon}'(0) = M_{\varepsilon}(0) = 0$.

Degenerate mobility

Formal proof of (b): Test (27) with $M'_\varepsilon(\varphi_\varepsilon)$. Crucial point:

$$\int_{\Omega_T} m_\varepsilon(\varphi_\varepsilon) \nabla \mu_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla M'_\varepsilon(\varphi_\varepsilon) = \int_{\Omega_T} \underbrace{m_\varepsilon(\varphi_\varepsilon) M''_\varepsilon(\varphi_\varepsilon)}_{=1} \nabla \mu_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon$$

Formal proof of (c): Let $E_\varepsilon : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by

$$E_\varepsilon(\varphi) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla \varphi|^2 + \varepsilon \int_\Omega \hat{\Psi}(\varphi) & \text{for } \varphi \in \text{dom } E_\varepsilon = \{|\varphi| \leq 1\}, \\ +\infty & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Then with $\partial E_\varepsilon(\varphi_\varepsilon) = \varepsilon P_0 \hat{\Psi}'(\varphi_\varepsilon) - \Delta \varphi_\varepsilon = P_0 \mu_\varepsilon - P_0 \Psi'(\varphi_\varepsilon)$ there holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi_\varepsilon\|_{H^2} + \varepsilon \|\hat{\Psi}'(\varphi_\varepsilon)\|_{L^2} &\leq C(\|\varphi_\varepsilon\|_{L^2})(\|\partial E_\varepsilon(\varphi_\varepsilon)\|_{L^2} + 1) \\ &\leq C(\|\nabla \mu_\varepsilon\|_{L^2} + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Finally use $\varepsilon \int_{\Omega_T} |\nabla \mu_\varepsilon|^2 \leq \int_{\Omega_T} m_\varepsilon(\varphi_\varepsilon) |\nabla \mu_\varepsilon|^2 \leq C$.

Degenerate mobility

Passing to the limit for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in

$$\int_{\Omega_T} \mathbf{J}_\varepsilon \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta} = \int_{\Omega_T} (\Psi'_\varepsilon(\varphi_\varepsilon) - \Delta \varphi_\varepsilon) \operatorname{div}(m_\varepsilon(\varphi_\varepsilon) \boldsymbol{\eta}).$$

One thing to show is

$$\varepsilon \int_{\Omega_T} \hat{\Psi}'(\varphi_\varepsilon) \operatorname{div}(m_\varepsilon(\varphi_\varepsilon) \boldsymbol{\eta}) \rightarrow 0.$$

To this end

$$\varepsilon \int_{|\varphi_\varepsilon| \leq 1-\varepsilon} \underbrace{\hat{\Psi}'(\varphi_\varepsilon)}_{O(\ln(\varepsilon))} \operatorname{div}(m_\varepsilon(\varphi_\varepsilon) \boldsymbol{\eta}) \quad \text{and}$$
$$\varepsilon \int_{|\varphi_\varepsilon| > 1-\varepsilon} \hat{\Psi}'(\varphi_\varepsilon) \operatorname{div}(\underbrace{m_\varepsilon(\varphi_\varepsilon)}_{\equiv \varepsilon(2-\varepsilon)} \boldsymbol{\eta}).$$

Conclusions

Conclusions

- We considered a thermodynamical consistent diffuse interface model for incompressible flows with different densities.
- The model leads to an energy estimate, which is important for the a-priori estimates.
- We show existence of weak solutions by an implicit time discretization.
- We include a degenerate mobility.

Thank you for your attention!