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#### Abstract

We introduce polynomially weighted $\ell^{p}$-norms on the bar complex of a finitely generated group. We prove that, for groups of polynomial or exponential growth, the homology of the completed complex does not depend on the value of $p$ in the range $(1, \infty)$.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $G$ be a finitely generated group. In order to state our main result, we quickly introduce the main players in it.

The group homology $H_{*}(G ; \mathbb{C})$ can be computed as the homology of the bar complex $C_{*}(G ; \mathbb{C})$. Chains $c \in C_{k}(G ; \mathbb{C})$ are of the form $c=\sum_{g \in G^{k}} a_{g} \cdot\left[e, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}\right]$, where only finitely many of the coefficients $a_{g}$ are non-zero. We choose a finite generating set $S$ for $G$ to get a word-metric on $G$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in[1, \infty)$ we then define a weighted norm on $C_{k}(G ; \mathbb{C})$ by $\|c\|_{n, p}^{S}:=\left(\sum_{g \in G^{k}}\left|a_{g}\right|^{p} \cdot \operatorname{diam}_{S}(g)^{n}\right)^{1 / p}$. We equip $C_{k}(G ; \mathbb{C})$ with the family $\left(\|-\|_{n, p}^{S}+\|\partial-\|_{n, p}^{S}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of norms and denote the corresponding completion to a Fréchet space by $C_{k}^{p}(G)$. The homology of the resulting chain complex $C_{*}^{p}(G)$ is denoted by $H_{*}^{p}(G)$.

Main Theorem (Theorem 3.1, Proposition 2.6). Let $G$ be a finitely generated group of polynomial or exponential growth and let $p, q \in(1, \infty)$ with $p<q$. Then the canonical homomorphism $H_{*}^{p}(G) \longrightarrow H_{*}^{q}(G)$ is an isomorphism.

Relation to the strong Novikov conjecture Let us explain why we are interested in a theorem like the one above. We first have to recall the following two notions. Firstly, a group $G$ is called of type $F_{\infty}$, if it admits a model for its classifying space $B G$ of finite type (i.e., a CW-complex that in each dimension has only finitely many cells). Secondly, a group of type $F_{\infty}$ is called polynomially contractible, if its Dehn function and its higher-dimensional analogues are polynomially bounded. Note that this assumption on $G$ is not very strong: most of the groups that one would call non-positively curved (like hyperbolic groups, CAT(0)-groups, systolic groups or mapping class groups) are polynomially contractible. This follows from the fact that if a group is polynomially combable (i.e., combable with a uniform polynomial bound on the lengths of the combing paths), then it is polynomially contractible [JR09, End of 2nd paragraph on p. 257] Eng18, Prop. 3.4].

For hyperbolic groups any choice of geodesics in the Cayley graph will be a suitable combing, for CAT(0)-groups any choice of quasi-geodesics in the group following uniformly closely CAT(0)-geodesics in the underlying space will do the job, for systolic groups one can use the bi-automatic structure found by Januszkiewicz-Świątkowski [JŚ06, Thm. E] or the combing by Osajda-Przytycki [OP09, and an automatic structure on mapping class groups was provided by Mosher Mos95. For a thorough compilation of polynomially contractible groups see the introduction of [Eng18].

Corollary. Let $G$ be a group of type $F_{\infty}$, and of polynomial or exponential growth, and let $G$ be polynomially contractible. If there exists some $p \in(1, \infty)$ such that the canonical homomorphism $H_{*}^{1}(G) \rightarrow H_{*}^{p}(G)$ is injective, then the strong Novikov conjecture holds for $G$.

Proof. The proof relies on the following diagram [Eng18]:


Here, $B_{r}^{p} G$ denotes the norm completion of $\mathbb{C} G \subset \mathfrak{B}\left(\ell^{p} G\right)$ and the top horizontal map is the analytic assembly map. In the case $p=2$ we have $B_{r}^{2} G=C_{r}^{*} G$, i.e., the reduced group $C^{*}$-algebra, and the strong Novikov conjecture asserts that the analytic assembly map in this case (i.e., for $p=2$ ) is rationally injective.

Let $x \in R K_{*}(B G) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ be any non-trivial element. Because the homological Chern character $\mathrm{ch}_{*}: R K_{*}(B G) \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow H_{*}(G ; \mathbb{C})$ is an isomorphism, there is some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\operatorname{ch}_{k}(x) \in H_{k}(G ; \mathbb{C})$ is non-zero. If $G$ is of type $F_{\infty}$ and polynomially contractible, then the canonical map $H_{*}(G ; \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H_{*}^{1}(G)$ is an isomorphism Eng18, Corollary 4.4]. (Analogous statements in the dual situation, i.e., for the corresponding cohomology groups, also hold Ogl05, JR09, Mey06.) Further, the right vertical map in the above diagram exists for all $p \leqslant(k+2) /(k+1)$. Hence, for such $p$ the element $x$ is not in the kernel of the analytic assembly map.

Since our goal is the strong Novikov conjecture, i.e., to show that the element $x$ is not in the kernel of the assembly map for $p=2$, we can try to go with the lower horizontal map to $H_{k}^{q}(G)$ for some $q>1$ instead of to $H_{k}^{1}(G)$, i.e, we consider the new diagram


Also in this case, we can construct the right vertical map for all $p \leqslant q \cdot(k+2) /(k+1)$ (this can be shown as in previous work of the first named author [Eng18, Proposition 5.1]). In particular, if $q$ is big enough, we can do it for $p=2$. We have already noted above that polynomial contractibility gives us that the canonical map $H_{*}(G ; \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H_{*}^{1}(G)$ is an isomorphism. Using the main theorem, we see that if the canonical map $H_{*}^{1}(G) \rightarrow H_{*}^{p}(G)$ is injective for some $p>1$, then $H_{*}^{1}(G) \rightarrow H_{*}^{q}(G)$ will be injective for every $q \in(1, \infty)$. Hence our element $x$ is not in the kernel of the assembly map for the case $p=2$.

Unfortunately, the hypotheses of this corollary are not satisfied for all groups: For example, for the free group $F_{2}$ of rank 2 , the canonical homomorphism $H_{1}^{1}\left(F_{2}\right) \longrightarrow H_{1}^{p}\left(F_{2}\right)$ is trivial for all $p \in(1, \infty)$ (Theorem 4.1, Theorem 2.4). In fact, we expect this vanishing result to hold in far greater generality, and thus this approach to the strong Novikov conjecture is not promising.

Questions Let us collect some open problems arising from the present paper. Since this seems to be the first time that such polynomially weighted $\ell^{p}$-completions of group homology are defined, there are many natural questions left open.

- Does Theorem 3.1, i.e., the comparison in the range $(1, \infty)$, also hold for groups of intermediate growth?
- For which groups of superpolynomial growth does Theorem 3.1 also hold in the cases " $p=1$ " or " $q=\infty$ " ?
- For which groups $G$ and which $p \in(1, \infty]$ is the canonical map $H_{*}^{1}(G) \longrightarrow H_{*}^{p}(G)$, resp. the canonical map $H_{*}(G ; \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H_{*}^{p}(G)$, injective?
- For which groups $G$ and which $p \in[1, \infty], k \in \mathbb{N}$ is $H_{k}^{p}(G)$ non-trivial? How can such classes be detected?

Related work Though this seems to be the first time that these polynomially weighted $\ell^{p}$-completions of group homology are defined, there are of course similar things already in the literature:

- Bader, Furman and Sauer BFS13] investigate the comparison maps from ordinary homology and Sobolev homology, respectively, to the $\ell^{1}$-homology of any word hyperbolic group.
- Nowak and Špakula NŠ10 study coarse homology theory with prescribed growth conditions.
- Weighted simplicial homology was studied by Dawson Daw90] and by Ren, Wu and Wu RWW17.
- The dual situation to the one from the present paper, but only in the case of $\ell^{1}$, i.e., group cohomology of polynomial growth, was studied by Connes and Moscovici CM90] in relation with the strong Novikov conjecture, and further investigated by many others like Ji Ji92, Meyer Mey06 and Ogle Ogl05.

Overview of this article Section 2 introduces the polynomially weighted $\ell^{p}$-versions of group homology in full detail and discusses the case of groups of polynomial growth. In Section 3, we establish the comparison theorem for groups of exponential growth. The vanishing result for the free group is proved in Section 4 .
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## 2 Weighted $\ell^{p}$-norms on group homology

### 2.1 Definition and basic properties

Definition 2.1 (weighted $\ell^{p}$-norms). Let $G$ be a finitely generated group endowed with a finite generating set $S$, let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $p \in[1, \infty)$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we define the $n$-weighted $\ell^{p}$-norm (with respect to $S$ ) by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|-\|_{n, p}^{S}: C_{k}(G ; \mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0} \\
\sum_{g \in G^{k}} a_{g} \cdot\left[e, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}\right] & \longmapsto\left(\sum_{g \in G^{k}}\left|a_{g}\right|^{p} \cdot \operatorname{diam}_{S}(g)^{n}\right)^{1 / p},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\operatorname{diam}_{S}(g):=\operatorname{diam}_{S}\left\{e, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}\right\}$ is the diameter with respect to the word metric $d_{S}$ on $G$.

We then equip $C_{k}(G ; \mathbb{C})$ with the family $\left(\|-\|_{n, p}^{S}+\|\partial-\|_{n, p}^{S}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of norms and denote the corresponding completion to a Fréchet space by $C_{k}^{p}(G)$. By construction, the boundary operator of $C_{k}(G ; \mathbb{C})$ extends continuously to $C_{k}^{p}(G)$ and the homology of $C_{*}^{p}(G)$ is called $\ell^{p}$-polynomially bounded homology of $G$, denoted by $H_{k}^{p}(G)$.

In the case of $p=\infty$, we proceed in the same manner, using the $n$-weighted $\ell^{\infty}$-norms (with respect to $S$ ), defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|-\|_{n, \infty}^{S}: C_{k}(G ; \mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0} \\
\sum_{g \in G^{k}} a_{g} \cdot\left[e, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}\right] & \longmapsto \sup _{g \in G^{k}}\left|a_{g}\right| \cdot \operatorname{diam}_{S}(g)^{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 2.2. If $G$ is a finitely generated group, $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $p \in[1, \infty]$, then different finite generating sets $S, T$ of $G$ lead to equivalent (semi-)norms $\|-\|_{n, p}^{S}$ and $\|-\|_{n, p}^{T}$ on $C_{k}(G ; \mathbb{C})$. Therefore, the completions $C_{*}^{p}(G)$ and the homology $H_{*}^{p}(G)$ are independent of the choice of finite generating sets.

Remark 2.3. Let $G$ be a finitely generated group and let $p, q \in[1, \infty)$ with $p<q$. Then the canonical inclusion $C_{*}^{p}(G) \longrightarrow C_{*}^{q}(G)$ is contractive in the following sense: For every finite generating set $S$ of $G$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the identity map $C_{*}(G ; \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow C_{*}(G ; \mathbb{C})$ has norm at most 1 with respect to the norms $\|-\|_{n, p}^{S}$ and $\|-\|_{n, q}^{S}$, respectively. In particular, we obtain a canonical induced map

$$
H_{*}^{p}(G) \longrightarrow H_{*}^{q}(G) .
$$

If $p \in[1, \infty)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$
\forall_{c \in C_{k}(G ; \mathbb{C})} \quad\|c\|_{n, \infty}^{S} \leqslant\|c\|_{n \cdot p], p}^{S}
$$

which yields a canonical map $H_{*}^{p}(G) \longrightarrow H_{*}^{\infty}(G)$.

### 2.2 The case $p=1$

It is already known that the canonical map $H_{*}(G ; \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow H_{*}^{1}(G)$ is an isomorphism for a large class of groups.

To state the corresponding theorem, we have to recall two notions. Firstly, a group $G$ is called of type $F_{\infty}$, if it admits a model for its classifying space $B G$ of finite type (i.e., a CW-complex that in each dimension has only finitely many cells). Secondly, a group of type $F_{\infty}$ is called polynomially contractible, if its Dehn function and its higher-dimensional analogues are polynomially bounded.

Most of the groups that one calls non-positively curved (like hyperbolic groups, systolic groups, CAT(0)-groups or mapping class groups) are polynomially contractible (see the introduction for references).

The following theorem has been proved (in variations) by different people in different ways Eng18, CM90, Mey06, Ogl05, JR09, JOR13:
Theorem 2.4. Let $G$ be a group of type $F_{\infty}$ that is polynomially contractible. Then the canonical map $H_{*}(G ; \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow H_{*}^{1}(G)$ is an isomorphism.

Remark 2.5. Without the assumption of polynomial contractibility, Theorem 2.4 is likely false.

Ji, Ogle, and Ramsey provided groups whose comparison maps from bounded cohomology to ordinary cohomology fail to be injective or surjective, respectively [JOR13, Sec. $6.4 \& 6.5]$. Bounded cohomology, as they investigate it, is dual to our $H_{*}^{1}(-)$ in the sense that it pairs with it (and this pairing is compatible with the usual pairing of homology with cohomology under the comparison maps). Please be also aware that their bounded cohomology is not the one used by Gromov Gro82].

It seems therefore plausible that the groups of Ji, Ogle and Ramsey are also examples of groups for which the canonical map $H_{*}(G ; \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow H_{*}^{1}(G)$ is not injective or surjective, respectively.

### 2.3 Comparison on groups of polynomial growth

Proposition 2.6. Let $G$ be a finitely generated group of polynomial growth and let $p, q \in[1, \infty]$ with $p<q$.

1. Then the inclusion $C_{*}^{p}(G) \longrightarrow C_{*}^{q}(G)$ is bounded from below in the following sense: For every finite generating set $S$ of $G$ and all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $C \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with

$$
\forall_{c \in C_{k}(G ; \mathbb{C})} \quad\|c\|_{m, q}^{S} \geqslant C \cdot\|c\|_{n, p}^{S} .
$$

2. In particular, the canonical map $H_{*}^{p}(G) \longrightarrow H_{*}^{q}(G)$ (Remark 2.3) is an isomorphism.
Proof. Ad 1. We first consider the case $q<\infty$. Let $D \in \mathbb{N}$ be the polynomial growth rate of $G$, let $S$ be a finite generating set of $G$, and let $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$
m:=\left\lceil q \cdot\left((k \cdot D+2) \cdot\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right)+\frac{n}{p}\right)\right\rceil
$$

has the desired property, as can be seen by the generalized Hölder inequality: Because $D$ is the polynomial growth rate of $G$, there is a constant $K \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ with

$$
\forall_{r \in \mathbb{N}>0} \quad \beta(r):=\left|B_{e}^{G, S}(r)\right| \leqslant K \cdot r^{D} .
$$

Moreover, because of $q>p$, there is $q^{\prime} \in[1, \infty)$ with

$$
\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}=\frac{1}{p} .
$$

We now consider $c \in C_{k}(G ; \mathbb{C})$ and the weight functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{1}, w_{2}: G^{k} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0} \\
& w_{1}: g \longmapsto \operatorname{diam}_{S}(g)^{m / q} \\
& w_{2}: g \longmapsto \operatorname{diam}_{S}(g)^{n / p-m / q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By definition, $\|c\|_{n, p}^{S}=\left\|c \cdot w_{1} \cdot w_{2}\right\|_{p}$ and $\|c\|_{m, q}^{S}=\left\|c \cdot w_{1}\right\|_{q}$ (where "." denotes pointwise multiplication). Applying the generalized Hölder inequality, we hence obtain

$$
\|c\|_{n, p}^{S} \leqslant\left\|c \cdot w_{1}\right\|_{q} \cdot\left\|w_{2}\right\|_{q^{\prime}}=\|c\|_{m, q}^{S} \cdot\left\|w_{2}\right\|_{q^{\prime}}
$$

and it remains to bound $\left\|w_{2}\right\|_{q^{\prime}}$ by a constant. The polynomial growth condition yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\left\|w_{2}\right\|_{q^{\prime}}\right)^{q^{\prime}} & =\sum_{g \in G^{k}} \operatorname{diam}(g)^{q^{\prime} \cdot\left(\frac{n}{p}-\frac{m}{q}\right)} \leqslant \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \beta(r)^{k} \cdot r^{q^{\prime} \cdot\left(\frac{n}{p}-\frac{m}{q}\right)} \leqslant K^{k} \cdot \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r^{k \cdot D} \cdot r^{q^{\prime} \cdot\left(\frac{n}{p}-\frac{m}{q}\right)} \\
& \leqslant K^{k} \cdot \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r^{-2}=K^{k} \cdot \zeta(2) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the case $q=\infty$, one can proceed in a similar way (with $m=\lceil 1 / p \cdot(k \cdot D+n+2)\rceil$ ).
$A d$ 2. By the first part, the identity map on the ordinary chain complex $C_{*}(G ; \mathbb{C})$ induces an isomorphism $C_{*}^{p}(G) \longrightarrow C_{*}^{q}(G)$. Hence, the claim follows.

### 2.4 Functoriality of weighted $\ell^{p}$-chain complexes

Definition 2.7 (polynomially controlled kernel). Let $G$ be a finitely generated group.

- A subgroup $H \subset G$ is polynomially controlled, if for one (whence every) finite generating set $S \subset G$ there exist $D \in \mathbb{N}$ and $K \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that

$$
\forall_{r \in \mathbb{N}>0} \quad\left|B_{r}^{G, S}(e) \cap H\right| \leqslant K \cdot r^{D} .
$$

- Let $G^{\prime}$ be a group. A group homomorphism $\varphi: G \longrightarrow G^{\prime}$ has polynomially controlled kernel if the subgroup $\operatorname{ker} \varphi$ of $G$ is polynomially controlled in the sense above.

Clearly, all group homomorphisms with finite kernel have polynomially controlled kernel as well as all group homomorphisms mapping out of finitely generated groups of polynomial growth.

Remark 2.8. If $H$ is a polynomially controlled subgroup of a finitely generated group $G$, then every finitely generated subgroup $K$ of $H$ has polynomial growth.

The reason for this is that the inclusion $K \rightarrow G$ does not increase lengths of elements if we choose a finite generating set $S$ of $G$ containing the chosen finite generating set $T$ of $K$ to define the word lengths. More concretely, we have for all $r \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$

$$
\left|B_{r}^{K, T}(e)\right| \leqslant\left|B_{r}^{G, S}(e) \cap K\right| \leqslant\left|B_{r}^{G, S}(e) \cap H\right| .
$$

We see that we even actually have that the growth rates of finitely generated subgroups of $H$ are uniformly bounded from above.

Lemma 2.9. Let $G$ be a hyperbolic group and $H$ a subgroup of $G$. Then $H$ is a polynomially controlled subgroup if and only if $H$ is virtually cyclic.

Proof. Let $H$ be a polynomially controlled subgroup. By Remark 2.8 finitely generated subgroups of $H$ are of polynomial growth. In particular, $H$ does not contain a free group of rank 2. As the ambient group $G$ is hyperbolic, this implies that $H$ is virtually cyclic [Ghy90, Corollaire on p. 224].

Let $H$ be virtually cyclic. Without loss of generality we can assume that $H$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$. Then $H$ is quasi-isometrically embedded in $G$ [BH99, Corollary III.Г.3.10(1)] and hence a polynomially controlled subgroup of $G$.

Proposition 2.10. Let $p \in[1, \infty]$, let $G$ and $H$ be finitely generated groups, and let $\varphi: G \longrightarrow H$ be a group homomorphism with polynomially controlled kernel. Then the induced chain map $C_{*}(\varphi ; \mathbb{C}): C_{*}(G ; \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow C_{*}(H ; \mathbb{C})$ is continuous with respect to the weighted $\ell^{p}$-Fréchet topologies.

Proof. Let us establish some notation: Let $S \subset G$ and $T \subset H$ be finite generating sets, and without loss of generality we may assume that $\varphi(S) \subset T$. Let $D \in \mathbb{N}$ be the polynomial control rate of $\operatorname{ker} \varphi$; hence, there is a $K \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ with

$$
\forall_{r \in \mathbb{N}>0} \quad \beta(r):=\left|B_{e}^{G, S}(r) \cap \operatorname{ker} \varphi\right| \leqslant K \cdot r^{D} .
$$

Furthermore, let $p \in[1, \infty]$ and $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$. It then suffices to prove that there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that

$$
\forall_{c \in C_{k}(G ; \mathbb{C})} \quad\left\|C_{k}(\varphi ; \mathbb{C})(c)\right\|_{n, p}^{T} \leqslant C \cdot\|c\|_{m+n, p}^{S}
$$

The arguments are similar to the proof of Proposition 2.6;
Let $c \in C_{k}(G ; \mathbb{C})$, say $c=\sum_{g \in G^{k}} a_{g} \cdot\left[e, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}\right]$. By definition of $C_{k}(\varphi ; \mathbb{C})$, we have

$$
\varphi(c):=C_{k}(\varphi ; \mathbb{C})(c)=\sum_{h \in H^{k}}\left(\sum_{g \in \varphi^{-1}(h)} a_{g}\right) \cdot\left[e, h_{1}, \ldots, h_{k}\right],
$$

where $\varphi^{-1}(h):=\left\{g \in G^{k} \mid \forall_{j \in\{1, \ldots, k\}} \quad \varphi\left(g_{j}\right)=h_{j}\right\}$.
We will first consider the case $p \in(1, \infty)$. Let

$$
m:=\lceil(k \cdot D+2) \cdot(p-1)\rceil
$$

and let $\bar{p}:=p /(p-1)$. As first step, we bound the inner sum for a given $h \in H^{k}$ (without loss of generality, we may assume $h \neq(e, \ldots, e))$ : By the Hölder inequality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\sum_{g \in \varphi^{-1}(h)} a_{g}\right|^{p} & \leqslant\left(\sum_{g \in \varphi^{-1}(h)}\left|a_{g}\right|\right)^{p} \\
& \leqslant \sum_{g \in \varphi^{-1}(h)}\left|a_{g}\right|^{p} \cdot \operatorname{diam}_{S}(g)^{m} \cdot\left(\sum_{g \in \varphi^{-1}(h)} \operatorname{diam}_{S}(g)^{-\frac{\bar{p} \cdot m}{p}}\right)^{\frac{p}{p}} \\
& \leqslant \sum_{g \in \varphi^{-1}(h)}\left|a_{g}\right|^{p} \cdot \operatorname{diam}_{S}(g)^{m} \cdot\left(\sum_{g \in \varphi^{-1}(h)} \operatorname{diam}_{S}(g)^{-\frac{m}{p-1}}\right)^{p-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

The first factor is related to $\|c\|_{m, p}^{S}$ and hence of the right type. We will now take care of the second factor: To this end, for $j \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$ let $g_{j}(h) \in G$ be a minimiser of $\min \left\{d_{S}(e, g) \mid g \in G, \varphi(g)=h_{j}\right\}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\forall_{\kappa_{j} \in \operatorname{ker} \varphi} \quad d_{S}\left(e, g_{j}(h) \cdot \kappa_{j}\right) & \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \cdot\left(d_{S}\left(e, g_{j}(h)\right)+d_{S}\left(e, g_{j}(h) \cdot \kappa_{j}\right)\right) \\
& \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \cdot d_{S}\left(g_{j}(h), g_{j}(h) \cdot \kappa_{j}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \cdot d_{S}\left(e, \kappa_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence the polynomial control on the kernel yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{g \in \varphi^{-1}(h)} \operatorname{diam}_{S}(g)^{-\frac{m}{p-1}} & =\sum_{k \in(\operatorname{ker} \varphi)^{k}} \operatorname{diam}_{S}(g(h) \cdot \kappa)^{-\frac{m}{p-1}} \\
& \leqslant \sum_{k \in(\operatorname{ker} \varphi)^{k}}\left(\max _{j \in\{1, \ldots, k\}} d_{S}\left(e, g_{j}(h) \cdot \kappa_{j}\right)\right)^{-\frac{m}{p-1}} \\
& \leqslant 2^{\frac{m}{p-1}} \cdot \sum_{\kappa \in(\operatorname{ker} \varphi)^{k}}\left(\max _{j \in\{1, \ldots, k\}} d_{S}\left(e, \kappa_{j}\right)\right)^{-\frac{m}{p-1}} \\
& \leqslant 2^{\frac{m}{p-1}} \cdot \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \beta(r)^{k} \cdot r^{-\frac{m}{p-1}} \leqslant 2^{\frac{m}{p-1}} \cdot K^{k} \cdot \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r^{k \cdot D} \cdot r^{-\frac{m}{p-1}} \\
& \leqslant 2^{\frac{m}{p-1}} \cdot K^{k} \cdot \zeta(2) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We set $C:=\left(2^{\frac{m}{p-1}} \cdot K^{k} \cdot \zeta(2)\right)^{1 /(p-1)}$. Putting it all together, we obtain (because $\left.\varphi(S) \subset T\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\|\varphi(c)\|_{n, p}^{T}\right)^{p} & =\sum_{h \in H^{k}}\left|\sum_{g \in \varphi^{-1}(h)} a_{g}\right|^{p} \cdot \operatorname{diam}_{T}(h)^{n} \\
& \leqslant C \cdot \sum_{h \in H^{k}} \sum_{g \in \varphi^{-1}(h)}\left|a_{g}\right|^{p} \cdot \operatorname{diam}_{S}(g)^{m} \cdot \operatorname{diam}_{T}(h)^{n} \\
& \leqslant C \cdot \sum_{h \in H^{k}} \sum_{g \in \varphi^{-1}(h)}\left|a_{g}\right|^{p} \cdot \operatorname{diam}_{S}(g)^{m} \cdot \operatorname{diam}_{S}(g)^{n} \\
& \leqslant C \cdot \sum_{g \in G^{k}}\left|a_{g}\right|^{p} \cdot \operatorname{diam}_{S}(g)^{m+n}=C \cdot\left(\|c\|_{m+n, p}^{S}\right)^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

as desired.
In the case $p=1$, the estimates above simplify significantly because the inner sum can be treated directly with the inherited $\ell^{1}$-bound and one obtains

$$
\forall_{c \in C_{k}(G ; \mathrm{C})} \quad\|\varphi(c)\|_{n, 1}^{T} \leqslant\|c\|_{n, 1}^{S} .
$$

In the case $p=\infty$, we take $m:=k \cdot D+2$. Then the inner sum admits the following estimate for given $h \in H^{k}$ (without loss of generality, we may assume $h \neq(e, \ldots, e)$ ):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\sum_{g \in \varphi^{-1}(h)} a_{g}\right| & \leqslant \sum_{g \in \varphi^{-1}(h)}\left|a_{g}\right| \cdot \operatorname{diam}_{S}(g)^{m} \cdot \operatorname{diam}_{S}(g)^{-m} \\
& \leqslant \sup _{g \in \varphi^{-1}(h)}\left|a_{g}\right| \cdot \operatorname{diam}_{S}(g)^{m} \cdot \sum_{g \in \varphi^{-1}(h)} \operatorname{diam}_{S}(g)^{-m} \\
& \leqslant \sup _{g \in \varphi^{-1}(h)}\left|a_{g}\right| \cdot \operatorname{diam}_{S}(g)^{m} \cdot 2^{m} \cdot K^{k} \cdot \zeta(2) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies $\|\varphi(c)\|_{n, \infty}^{T} \leqslant 2^{m} \cdot K^{k} \cdot \zeta(2) \cdot\|c\|_{m+n, \infty}^{S}$.
Corollary 2.11 (functoriality). Let $p \in[1, \infty]$, let $G$ and $H$ be finitely generated groups, and let $\varphi: G \longrightarrow H$ be a group homomorphism with polynomially controlled kernel.

1. Then $C_{*}(\varphi ; \mathbb{C})$ admits a well-defined, continuous extension

$$
C_{*}^{p}(\varphi): C_{*}^{p}(G) \longrightarrow C_{*}^{p}(H),
$$

which is a chain map.
2. In particular, we obtain a corresponding homomorphism $H_{*}^{p}(\varphi): H_{*}^{p}(G) \longrightarrow H_{*}^{p}(H)$ that is compatible with $H_{*}(\varphi ; \mathbb{C}): H_{*}(G ; \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow H_{*}(H ; \mathbb{C})$.

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Proposition 2.10.

## 3 Comparison in the range $(1, \infty)$

Theorem 3.1. Let $G$ be a finitely generated group of exponential growth and $p, q \in(1, \infty)$ with $p<q$.

1. The inclusion $C_{*}^{p}(G) \longrightarrow C_{*}^{q}(G)$ is a chain homotopy equivalence.
2. In particular, the canonical map $H_{*}^{p}(G) \longrightarrow H_{*}^{q}(G)$ (see Remark 2.3) is an isomorphism.

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is based on the following basic chain-level result, which will be proved in Section 3.2.

Proposition 3.2. Let $G$ be a finitely generated group of exponential growth with finite generating set $S$ and let $p, q \in(1, \infty)$ with $p<q$. Then there exists a chain map $E: C_{*}(G ; \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow C_{*}(G ; \mathbb{C})$ and a chain homotopy $B$ between $E$ and the identity with the following properties: For all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $K \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $c \in C_{k}(G ; \mathbb{C})$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\|E(c)\|_{n, p}^{S} & \leqslant K \cdot\left(\|c\|_{m, q}^{S}+\|\partial c\|_{m, q}^{S}\right)  \tag{3.1}\\
\|\partial E(c)\|_{n, p}^{S} & \leqslant K \cdot\left(\|c\|_{m, q}^{S}+\|\partial c\|_{m, q}^{S}\right)  \tag{3.2}\\
\|B(c)\|_{n, p}^{S} & \leqslant K \cdot\left(\|c\|_{m, p}^{S}+\|\partial c\|_{m, p}^{S}\right)  \tag{3.3}\\
\|\partial B(c)\|_{n, p}^{S} & \leqslant K \cdot\left(\|c\|_{m, p}^{S}+\|\partial c\|_{m, p}^{S}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking Proposition 3.2 for granted, the proof of Theorem 3.1 is immediate:
Proof of Theorem 3.1. We write $i: C_{*}^{p}(G) \longrightarrow C_{*}^{q}(G)$ for the canonical inclusion map. Let $E$ and $B$ be maps as provided by Proposition 3.2. Estimates (3.1) and (3.2) show that $E$ extends to a continuous chain map

$$
\bar{E}: C_{*}^{q}(G) \longrightarrow C_{*}^{p}(G) .
$$

Similarly, the Estimates (3.3) and (3.4) (for $p$ and for $q$ ) show that $B$ extends to continuous chain homotopies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{B}(p): C_{*}^{p}(G) \longrightarrow C_{*}^{p}(G) \\
& \bar{B}(q): C_{*}^{q}(G) \longrightarrow C_{*}^{q}(G)
\end{aligned}
$$

between $E \circ i$ and the identity on $C_{*}^{p}(G)$ and between $i \circ E$ and the identity on $C_{*}^{q}(G)$, respectively. Therefore, $i$ is a chain homotopy equivalence and thus induces an isomorphism $H_{*}^{p}(G) \longrightarrow H_{*}^{q}(G)$ on homology.

### 3.1 Diffusion

It remains to construct the maps $E$ and $B$ in Proposition 3.2. The fundamental observation is that $\ell^{p}$-norms on $C_{*}(G ; \mathbb{C})$ can be decreased by diffusing the coefficients over a large number of simplices. Therefore, we diffuse the simplices by coning them off with cone points in annuli of suitable radii (Figure 1).

Definition 3.3 (diffusion cone operator). Let $G$ be a finitely generated group, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $Z: G^{*} \longrightarrow P_{\text {fin }}(G)$ be a map (here $P_{\text {fin }}(G)$ denotes the collection of finite subsets of $G$ ). The diffusion cone operator associated with $Z$ is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{k}(G ; \mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow C_{k+1}(G ; \mathbb{C}) \\
{\left[e, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}\right] } & \longmapsto \frac{1}{\left|Z_{\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}\right)}\right|} \cdot \sum_{z \in Z_{\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}\right)}}\left[z, e, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 1: Diffusing chains/cones of a simplex (solid vertices; centre) using cone points (empty) in an annulus

The key parameter of the diffusion cone construction is the function $Z$ determining the supports of the diffused simplices. We will use wide enough annuli of large enough radii. More precisely, we let the radii grow polynomially (of high degree) in terms of the diameter of the original simplices.

Definition 3.4 (diffusion annuli). Let $G$ be a finitely generated group with a chosen finite generating set $S$ and let $N \in \mathbb{N}_{>10}$. We define the diffusion annuli map of degree $N$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z: G^{k} & \longrightarrow P_{\text {fin }}(G) \\
g & \longmapsto \bar{Z}_{\operatorname{diam}_{S}(g)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{Z}: \mathbb{N} & \longmapsto P_{\text {fin }}(G) \\
\quad r & \longmapsto \bar{Z}_{r}:=\left\{g \in G \mid r^{N}-r^{N / 10}<d_{S}(e, g) \leqslant r^{N}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi: \mathbb{N} & \longrightarrow \mathbb{N} \\
r & \longmapsto 2 \cdot r^{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

Before starting with the actual proof of Proposition 3.2, we first collect some basic estimates concerning this diffusion construction:

Lemma 3.5 (accumulation control). In the situation of Definition 3.4, we have for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geqslant 1}$, all $g \in G^{k}$, and all $z \in Z_{g}$ :

1. Clearly, $\operatorname{diam}_{S}\left[z, e, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}\right] \leqslant \varphi\left(\operatorname{diam}_{S}(g)\right)$.
2. If $j \in\{1, \ldots, k\}, h \in G^{k}$, and $w \in Z_{h}$ satisfy the relation $\left[z, e, g_{1}, \ldots, \widehat{g}_{j}, \ldots, g_{k}\right]=$ $\left[w, e, h_{1}, \ldots, \widehat{h}_{j}, \ldots, h_{k}\right]$, then

$$
w=z \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{diam}_{S}(g)=\operatorname{diam}_{S}(h) .
$$

3. If $h \in G^{k}$ and $w \in Z_{h}$ satisfy $\left[z, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}\right]=\left[w, h_{1}, \ldots, h_{k}\right]$, then

$$
w=h_{1} \cdot g_{1}^{-1} \cdot z \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{diam}_{S}(g)=\operatorname{diam}_{S}(h) .
$$

Proof. Ad 1. This is immediate from the construction.
Ad 2. Because both simplices have the same 1-vertex (namely $e$ ), all the vertices must coincide. Thus, $w=z$. Because the annuli defined by $\bar{Z}$ are disjoint for different radii and because $w=z \in Z_{h} \cap Z_{g}$, we obtain $\operatorname{diam}_{S}(g)=\operatorname{diam}_{S}(h)$.

Ad 3. The assumption implies that

$$
\forall_{j \in\{1, \ldots, k\}} \quad z^{-1} \cdot g_{j}=w^{-1} \cdot h_{j} .
$$

In particular, $w=h_{1} \cdot g_{1}^{-1} \cdot z$. Using the abbreviations $r_{g}:=\operatorname{diam}_{S}(g)$ and $r_{h}:=\operatorname{diam}_{S}(h)$, we obtain by the triangle inequality that $d_{S}\left(e, z^{-1} \cdot g_{1}\right)=d_{S}\left(e, w^{-1} \cdot h_{1}\right)$ is in the intersection

$$
\left[r_{g}^{N}-r_{g}^{N / 10}-r_{g}, r_{g}^{N}+r_{g}\right] \cap\left[r_{h}^{N}-r_{h}^{N / 10}-r_{h}, r_{h}^{N}+r_{h}\right]
$$

(which is hence non-empty). Therefore, $r_{g}=r_{h}$.
Lemma 3.6 (norm control). In the situation of Definition 3.4, let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and let

$$
I_{k}:=\left\{\left(z, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}\right) \mid\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}\right) \in G^{k}, z \in Z_{g}\right\} .
$$

Furthermore, let $J_{k}$ be a set, let $\pi: I_{k} \longrightarrow J_{k}$ be a map, and let $\beta: I_{k} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a function controlling the size of the fibres of $\pi$, i.e.,

$$
\forall_{i \in I_{k}}\left|\pi^{-1}(\pi(i))\right| \leqslant \beta(i) .
$$

For functions $f: I_{k} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we define the push-forward

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{*} f: J_{k} & \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\
j & \longmapsto \sum_{i \in \pi^{-1}(j)} f(i) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, let $p \in(1, \infty)$ and let $f: I_{k} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a function with finite support.

1. Then

$$
\left\|\pi_{*} f\right\|_{p} \leqslant\left(\sum_{i \in I_{k}} \beta(i)^{p} \cdot|f(i)|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} .
$$

2. Moreover, let $q \in(1, \infty)$ with $p<q$, let $q^{\prime} \in(1, \infty)$ with $1 / q+1 / q^{\prime}=1 / p$, and let $w: I_{k} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a function such that $\pi_{*} w: J_{k} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is $q^{\prime}$-summable. Then (with respect to pointwise multiplication)

$$
\left\|\pi_{*}(f \cdot w)\right\|_{p} \leqslant\left\|\pi_{*} f\right\|_{q} \cdot\left\|\pi_{*} w\right\|_{q^{\prime}} .
$$

Proof. The first part is a consequence of the following elementary estimate: For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in \mathbb{C}$, we have (by looking at a coefficient of maximal modulus)

$$
\left|a_{1}+\cdots+a_{n}\right|^{p} \leqslant n^{p} \cdot\left(\left|a_{1}\right|^{p}+\cdots+\left|a_{n}\right|^{p}\right) .
$$

The second part is just an instance of the generalized Hölder inequality.

### 3.2 Completing the proof of the comparison result

Proof of Proposition 3.2. We choose the parameter $N:=100$ for the construction in Definition 3.4 (basically any choice will work because of the exponential growth of $G$ ). Let $Z: G^{*} \longrightarrow P_{\text {fin }}(G)$ be the associated diffusion annuli map (Definition 3.4) and let $B: C_{*}(G ; \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow C_{*+1}(G ; \mathbb{C})$ be the diffusion cone operator associated with $Z$ (Definition 3.3). We then define

$$
E:=\operatorname{id}-\partial \circ B-B \circ \partial: C_{*}(G ; \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow C_{*}(G ; \mathbb{C}) .
$$

It is clear that $E$ is a chain map and $B$ a chain homotopy between $E$ and the identity on $C_{*}(G ; \mathbb{C})$.

Therefore, it remains to prove the norm estimates. We first replace this zoo of estimates by the following estimates: For all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $K \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $c \in C_{k}(G ; \mathbb{C})$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\|B(c)\|_{n, p}^{S} & \leqslant K \cdot\|c\|_{m, p}^{S}  \tag{3.5}\\
\|B(c)\|_{n, p}^{S} & \leqslant K \cdot\|c\|_{m, q}^{S}  \tag{3.6}\\
\|c-\partial B(c)\|_{n, p}^{S} & \leqslant K \cdot\|c\|_{m, q}^{S} \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

These estimates imply the Estimates (3.1)-(3.4) (modulo unification of the constants by taking the maximum) as follows:

- Estimate (3.3) follows from Estimate (3.5).
- Estimate (3.1) follows from the fact that $E=\mathrm{id}-\partial \circ B-B \circ \partial$ and the Estimates (3.7) and (3.6) (with modified constants).
- Estimate (3.2) follows from (3.1) and the fact that $E$ is a chain map.
- Estimate (3.4) then follows from $\partial \circ B=\mathrm{id}-E-B \circ \partial$ and the Estimates (3.1) (and Remark 2.3), and (3.3) (with modified constants).

In the following, let $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let

$$
c=\sum_{g \in G^{k}} a_{g} \cdot\left[e, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}\right] \in C_{k}(G ; \mathbb{C}) .
$$

We will first prove (3.5); of course, (3.5) follows from (3.6) (with Remark 2.3), but we will use this straightforward estimate as warm-up for the other estimates. By construction of the diffusion cone operator $B$, we have (using Lemma 3.51 for the first inequality, and Definition 3.4 of $\varphi$ for the second inequality)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|B_{k}(c)\right\|_{n, p}^{S} & =\left\|\sum_{g \in G^{k}} a_{g} \cdot \frac{1}{\left|Z_{g}\right|} \cdot \sum_{z \in Z_{g}}\left[z, e, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}\right]\right\|_{n, p}^{S} \\
& =\left(\sum_{g \in G^{k}} \sum_{z \in Z_{g}} \frac{1}{\left|Z_{g}\right|^{p}} \cdot\left|a_{g}\right|^{p} \cdot\left(\operatorname{diam}_{S}\left[z, e, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}\right]\right)^{n}\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \leqslant\left(\sum_{g \in G^{k}} \frac{1}{\left|Z_{g}\right|^{p-1}} \cdot\left|a_{g}\right|^{p} \cdot \varphi\left(\operatorname{diam}_{S}(g)\right)^{n}\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \leqslant\left(\sum_{g \in G^{k}}\left|a_{g}\right|^{p} \cdot 2^{n} \cdot\left(\operatorname{diam}_{S}(g)\right)^{N \cdot n}\right)^{1 / p} \\
& =2^{n / p} \cdot\|c\|_{N \cdot n, p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Before proving (3.6) and (3.7), let us first fix some notation: Because of $q>p$, there is some $q^{\prime}$ such that $1 / q+1 / q^{\prime}=1 / p$; let $x:=1 / q$, let $y:=1-x=1-1 / p+1 / q^{\prime}$, and let $\varepsilon:=y \cdot q^{\prime}-1=q^{\prime} \cdot(1-1 / p)>0$.

Let us establish (3.6) (with $m=1$ ): The generalized Hölder inequality shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|B_{k}(c)\right\|_{n, p}^{S}= & \left(\sum_{g \in G^{k}} \sum_{z \in Z_{g}} \frac{1}{\left|Z_{g}\right|^{p}} \cdot\left|a_{g}\right|^{p} \cdot\left(\operatorname{diam}_{S}\left[z, e, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}\right]\right)^{n}\right)^{1 / p} \\
\leqslant & \left(\sum_{g \in G^{k}} \sum_{z \in Z_{g}} \frac{1}{\left|Z_{g}\right| q^{q \cdot x}} \cdot\left|a_{g}\right|^{q} \cdot \operatorname{diam}_{S}(g)\right)^{1 / q} \\
& \cdot\left(\sum_{g \in G^{k}} \sum_{z \in Z_{g}} \frac{1}{\left|Z_{g}\right|^{q^{\prime} \cdot \cdot}} \cdot \frac{\left(\operatorname{diam}_{S}\left[z, e, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}\right]\right)^{q^{\prime} \cdot n / p}}{\left(\operatorname{diam}_{S}(g)\right)^{q^{\prime} / q}}\right)^{1 / q^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We denote the first factor by $A_{1}$ and the second factor by $A_{2}$. As $q \cdot x=1$, we obtain

$$
A_{1}=\left(\sum_{g \in G^{k}}\left|Z_{g}\right| \cdot \frac{1}{\left|Z_{g}\right|^{q \cdot x}} \cdot\left|a_{g}\right|^{q} \cdot \operatorname{diam}_{S}(g)\right)^{1 / q}=\|c\|_{1, q}^{S}
$$

The term $A_{2}$ can be estimated via

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{2}^{q^{\prime}} & \leqslant \sum_{g \in G^{k}} \sum_{z \in Z_{g}} \frac{1}{\left|Z_{g}\right| q^{q^{\prime} \cdot y}} \cdot \frac{\varphi\left(\operatorname{diam}_{S}(g)\right)^{q^{\prime} \cdot n / p}}{\left(\operatorname{diam}_{S}(g)\right)^{q^{\prime} / q}} \\
& \leqslant \sum_{g \in G^{k}} \frac{1}{\left|Z_{g}\right|^{q^{\prime} \cdot y-1}} \cdot \frac{\varphi\left(\operatorname{diam}_{S}(g)\right)^{q^{\prime} \cdot n / p}}{\left(\operatorname{diam}_{S}(g)\right)^{q^{\prime} / q}} \\
& \leqslant \sum_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\left|\left\{g \in G^{k} \mid \operatorname{diam}_{S}\left\{e, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}\right\}=r\right\}\right|}{\left|\bar{Z}_{r}\right|^{\varepsilon}} \cdot \frac{\varphi(r)^{q^{\prime} \cdot n / p}}{r^{q^{\prime} / q}} \\
& \leqslant \sum_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\beta_{G, S}(r)^{k}}{\left|\bar{Z}_{r}\right|^{\varepsilon}} \cdot \frac{\varphi(r)^{q^{\prime} \cdot n / p}}{r^{q^{\prime} / q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\beta_{G, S}: \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$ denotes the growth function of $G$ with respect to $S$. The second factor in the series above is dominated by a polynomial (in $r$ ); we will now show that the first factor decreases exponentially in $r$ : By definition, we have

$$
\beta_{G, S}(r)^{k} \leqslant(4 \cdot|S|)^{r \cdot k}
$$

Because $G$ has exponential growth, there is an $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{>1}$ such that $\beta_{G, S}(r) \geqslant \alpha^{r}$ holds for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\left|\bar{Z}_{r}\right| \geqslant\left|\beta_{G, S}\left(r^{N / 10} / 2\right)\right| \geqslant \alpha^{r^{N / 10} / 2}
$$

and so

$$
\frac{\beta_{G, S}(r)^{k}}{\left|\bar{Z}_{r}\right|^{\varepsilon}} \leqslant \frac{(4 \cdot|S|)^{r \cdot k}}{\alpha^{\varepsilon / 2 \cdot r^{N / 10}}}
$$

which (eventually) decreases exponentially in $r$. Hence, $A_{2}^{q^{\prime}}$ is dominated by a convergent series (whose value is independent of $c$ ). This shows Estimate (3.6).

Finally, we prove the most delicate Estimate (3.7). By construction,

$$
\begin{aligned}
c-\partial & B_{k}(c) \\
& =\sum_{g \in G^{k}} a_{g}\left(\left[e, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}\right]-\frac{1}{\left|Z_{g}\right|} \cdot \sum_{z \in Z_{g}} \partial\left[z, e, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}\right]\right) \\
& =\sum_{g \in G^{k}} a_{g} \cdot \frac{1}{\left|Z_{g}\right|} \cdot \sum_{z \in Z_{g}}\left(-\left[z, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}\right]+\sum_{j=1}^{k}(-1)^{j+1} \cdot\left[z, e, g_{1}, \ldots, \widehat{g}_{j}, \ldots, g_{k}\right]\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|c-\partial B_{k}(c)\right\|_{n, p}^{S} \leqslant & \left\|\sum_{g \in G^{k}} \frac{1}{\left|Z_{g}\right|} \cdot a_{g} \cdot \sum_{z \in Z_{g}}\left[z, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}\right]\right\|_{n, p}^{S} \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left\|\sum_{g \in G^{k}} \frac{1}{\left|Z_{g}\right|} \cdot a_{g} \cdot \sum_{z \in Z_{g}}\left[z, e, g_{1}, \ldots, \hat{g}_{j}, \ldots, g_{k}\right]\right\|_{n, p}^{S}
\end{aligned}
$$

We will treat these $k+1$ sums separately. In order to introduce $\|-\|_{m, q}$, we again will use the generalized Hölder inequality. However, in contrast with the previous estimates, we now have to carefully control accumulations of coefficients on $k$-simplices (using Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.6).

We will only treat the first sum in detail (the other sums can be handled in the same way by modifying $J_{k}$ accordingly). We will apply Lemma 3.6 to the following situation: We consider the set

$$
J_{k}:=\left\{\left[z, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}\right] \mid\left(z, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}\right) \in I_{k}\right\} \subset C_{k}(G ; \mathbb{C}),
$$

together with the canonical projection $\pi: I_{k} \longrightarrow J_{k}$. In view of Lemma 3.5, the projection $\pi$ has $\beta$-controlled fibres, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta: I_{k} & \longrightarrow \mathbb{N} \\
\left(z, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}\right) & \longmapsto \beta_{G, S}\left(\operatorname{diam}_{S}(g)\right)^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ with $\delta<y-1 / q^{\prime}=\min \left(y, \varepsilon / q^{\prime}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
f: I_{k} & \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\
\left(z, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}\right) & \longmapsto \frac{1}{\left|Z_{g}\right|^{x+\delta}} \cdot a_{g} \cdot \operatorname{diam}_{S}\left[z, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}\right]^{1 / q}, \\
w: I_{k} & \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\
\left(z, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}\right) & \longmapsto \frac{1}{\left|Z_{g}\right|^{y-\delta}} \cdot \operatorname{diam}_{S}\left[z, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}\right]^{n / p-1 / q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, by construction,

$$
\left\|\sum_{g \in G^{k}} \frac{1}{\left|Z_{g}\right|} \cdot a_{g} \cdot \sum_{z \in Z_{g}}\left[z, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}\right]\right\|_{n, p}^{S}=\left\|\pi_{*}(f \cdot w)\right\|_{p} .
$$

We will now bound $\left\|\pi_{*}(f \cdot w)\right\|_{p}$ from above with the help of Lemma 3.6. Clearly, $f$ has finite support. Let us show that $\pi_{*} w$ is a $q^{\prime}$-summable function. By definition of $w$, we have (with $\Phi(r):=2 \cdot r^{N \cdot(n / p-1 / q) \cdot q^{\prime}}$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i \in I_{k}} \beta(i)^{q^{\prime}} \cdot|w(i)|^{q^{\prime}} & \leqslant \sum_{(z, g) \in I_{k}} \frac{\beta(z, g)^{q^{\prime}}}{\left|Z_{g}\right|{ }^{(y-\delta) \cdot q^{\prime}}} \cdot \Phi\left(\operatorname{diam}_{S}(g)\right) \\
& \leqslant \sum_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \beta_{G, S}(r)^{k} \cdot\left|\bar{Z}_{r}\right| \cdot \frac{\beta_{G, S}(r)^{q^{\prime} \cdot k}}{\left|\bar{Z}_{r}\right|^{(y-\delta) \cdot q^{\prime}}} \cdot \Phi(r) \\
& \leqslant \sum_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\beta_{G, S}(r)^{k+q^{\prime} \cdot k}}{\left|\bar{Z}_{r}\right|^{(y-\delta) \cdot q^{\prime}-1}} \cdot \Phi(r) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Because $(y-\delta) \cdot q^{\prime}-1>0$, the same argument as in the proof of Estimate 3.6) shows that first factor (eventually) decreases at least exponentially in $r$ while $\Phi$ grows only
polynomially in $r$. Therefore, this series is convergent; let $A_{2}$ be the value of this series. The first part of Lemma 3.6 shows that $\pi_{*} w$ is $q^{\prime}$-summable and that

$$
\left\|\pi_{*} w\right\|_{q^{\prime}} \leqslant A_{2}^{1 / q^{\prime}} .
$$

Therefore, the second part of Lemma 3.6 shows that

$$
\left\|\pi_{*}(f \cdot w)\right\|_{p} \leqslant\left\|\pi_{*} f\right\|_{q} \cdot\left\|\pi_{*} w\right\|_{q^{\prime}} \leqslant A_{2}^{1 / q^{\prime}} \cdot\left\|\pi_{*} f\right\|_{q} .
$$

It hence remains to provide a suitable estimate for $\left\|\pi_{*} f\right\|_{q}$. Using Lemma 3.6, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\pi_{*} f\right\|_{q}^{q} & \leqslant \sum_{i \in I_{k}} \beta(i)^{q} \cdot|f(i)|^{q} \\
& \leqslant \sum_{(z, g) \in I_{k}} \frac{\beta_{G, S}\left(\operatorname{diam}_{S}(g)\right)^{q \cdot k}}{\left|Z_{g}\right|^{q \cdot x+q \cdot \delta}} \cdot\left|a_{g}\right|^{q} \cdot \varphi\left(\operatorname{diam}_{S} g\right) \\
& \leqslant 2 \cdot \sum_{g \in G^{k}} \frac{\beta_{G, S}\left(\operatorname{diam}_{S}(g)\right)^{q \cdot k}}{\left|Z_{g}\right|^{1+q \cdot \delta}} \cdot\left|Z_{g}\right| \cdot\left|a_{g}\right|^{q} \cdot \operatorname{diam}_{S}(g)^{N} \\
& =2 \cdot \sum_{g \in G^{k}} \frac{\beta_{G, S}\left(\operatorname{diam}_{S}(g)\right)^{q \cdot k}}{\left|Z_{g}\right|^{q \cdot \delta}} \cdot\left|a_{g}\right|^{q} \cdot \operatorname{diam}_{S}(g)^{N} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Again, because $q \cdot \delta>0$, we see as in the proof of the Estimate (3.6) that the first factor is bounded, say by $A_{1}$. Then,

$$
\left\|\pi_{*} f\right\|_{q}^{q} \leqslant 2 \cdot A_{1} \cdot \sum_{g \in G^{k}}\left|a_{g}\right|^{q} \cdot \operatorname{diam}_{S}(g)^{N}=2 \cdot A_{1} \cdot\|c\|_{N, q}^{q} .
$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 3.2 and hence of Theorem 3.1.

## 4 A vanishing result in degree 1

We have the following vanishing result for the free group $F_{2}$ of rank 2:
Theorem 4.1. Let $p \in(1, \infty)$. Then the canonical homomorphism $H_{1}\left(F_{2} ; \mathbb{C}\right) \longrightarrow H_{1}^{p}\left(F_{2}\right)$ is the zero map.

Proof. Let $S:=\{\alpha, \beta\}$ be a free generating set of the free group $F_{2}$ of rank 2 . In this proof, all distances, diameters, norms, etc. will be taken with respect to this generating set $S$.

Before starting with the actual proof, we perform the following reductions:

- In view of Theorem [3.1, it suffices to prove Theorem 4.1 for $p>2$.
- Because $H_{1}\left(F_{2} ; \mathbb{C}\right)$ is generated by the homology classes corresponding to the cycles $[e, \alpha]$ and $[e, \beta]$, it suffices to show that the classes in $H_{1}^{p}\left(F_{2}\right)$ represented by $[e, \alpha]$ and $[e, \beta]$ are trivial.


Figure 2: For each edge $[e, x]$, we choose two 2-simplices that contain this edge (and halve the coefficients).

- Since the classes represented by $[e, \alpha]$ and $[e, \beta]$ only differ by an isometric automorphism of $F_{2}$, it suffices to prove the vanishing for $[e, \alpha]$.
To this end, we will construct an explicit chain $b$ in $C_{2}^{p}\left(F_{2}\right)$ whose boundary is $[e, \alpha]$.
The geometric idea for the construction of such a 2 -chain $b$ is to start with two 2 -simplices with coefficient $1 / 2$ that contain $[e, \alpha]$ as an edge; inductively, we then choose two 2-simplices with halved coefficients that contain the new edges ... (Figure 2). The resulting infinite chain will converge in the $\ell^{p}$-setting because the coefficients are distributed over enough summands. The main technical difficulty is to ensure that the weights are really distributed so that they do not accumulate on simplices via accidental cancellations. This will be achieved by a careful selection of markers and suffixes that encode the induction level and the two different choices at each stage.

We will describe the construction of $b$ in a top-down manner, first giving the final formula and then explaining all the ingredients: For $D \in \mathbb{N}$, we set

$$
b(D):=\sum_{d=0}^{D} \sum_{x \in W(d)} \frac{1}{2^{d+1}} \cdot \varepsilon(x) \cdot(s(x)+t(x)) \in C_{2}\left(F_{2} ; \mathbb{C}\right) .
$$

We will then show that the sequence $(b(D))_{D \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to a chain

$$
b:=\lim _{D \rightarrow \infty} b(D) \in C_{2}^{p}\left(F_{2}\right)
$$

that satisfies $\partial b=[e, \alpha]$. But first we have to explain the ingredients of $b(D)$ : To this end, we define (by mutual recursion) the subsets $W(d) \subset F_{2}$ (keeping track of the set of edges), the suffixes $s_{d}, t_{d} \in F_{2}$, the markers $m(x) \in F_{2}$ and the 2-simplices $s(x)$ and $t(x)$ :

- For each $d \in \mathbb{N}$, we set $s_{d}:=\alpha^{d} \beta^{d}$ and $t_{d}:=\beta^{d} \alpha^{d} \in F_{2}$.
- We set $W(0):=\{\alpha\}$ (and $m(\alpha):=e)$ and for $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\geqslant 1}$, we let

$$
W(d):=\bigcup_{x \in W(d-1)} W(x, d) \subset F_{2},
$$

where (for each $x \in W(d-1)$ )

$$
W(x, d):=\left\{x m(x) s_{d}, m(x) s_{d}, x m(x) t_{d}, m(x) t_{d}\right\} \subset F_{2} .
$$

- Inductively, we see that $|W(d)| \leqslant 4^{d}$ for all $d \in \mathbb{N}$. We can thus choose an injection $m: W(d) \longrightarrow\{\alpha, \beta\}^{2 \cdot d}$ and view the words $m(x)$ with $x \in W(d)$ as elements of $F_{2}$.
- For $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in W(d)$, we set

$$
s(x):=\left[e, x, x m(x) s_{d}\right], \quad t(x):=\left[e, x, x m(x) t_{d}\right] \in C_{2}\left(F_{2} ; \mathbb{C}\right)
$$

- Finally, the signs $\varepsilon(\ldots)$ are defined as follows: We set $\varepsilon(\alpha):=1$; for $d \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ and $x \in W(d-1)$, we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon\left(m(x) s_{d}\right) & :=-\varepsilon(x) \\
\varepsilon\left(m(x) t_{d}\right) & :=-\varepsilon(x) \\
\varepsilon\left(x m(x) s_{d}\right) & :=\varepsilon(x) \\
\varepsilon\left(x m(x) t_{d}\right) & :=\varepsilon(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By construction, all elements of $W(d)$ consist of non-negative powers of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ and no cancellations occur in the definitions above. Therefore, $s, t$, and $\varepsilon$ are well-defined. Moreover, the construction of the edge sets $W(d)$ is justified by the following observation: For each $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $x \in W(d)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial(s(x)+t(x)) & =\partial\left(\left[e, x, x m(x) s_{d}\right]+\left[e, x, x m(x) t_{d}\right]\right) \\
& =\left[e, m(x) s_{d}\right]-\left[e, x m(x) s_{d}\right]+[e, x]+\left[e, m(x) t_{d}\right]-\left[e, x m(x) t_{d}\right]+[e, x] .
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to prove convergence of $(b(D))_{D \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(\partial b(D))_{D \in \mathbb{N}}$, we need to estimate the diameters of the simplices involved: For $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in W(d)$, we have

$$
\operatorname{diam} s(x) \leqslant d(e, x)+4 \cdot d, \quad \operatorname{diam} t(x) \leqslant d(e, x)+4 \cdot d ;
$$

inductively, we obtain for $x \in W(d)$

$$
d(e, x) \in O\left(d^{2}\right)
$$

and therefore

$$
\operatorname{diam} s(x), \quad \operatorname{diam} t(x) \in O\left(d^{2}\right)
$$

We now give the convergence arguments:

- The sequence $(b(D))_{D \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy with respect to $\|-\|_{n, p}$ : Let $D, D^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}$ with $D^{\prime}>$ $D \geqslant 0$ and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By construction, we have

$$
b\left(D^{\prime}\right)-b(D)=\sum_{d=D+1}^{D^{\prime}} \sum_{x \in W(d)} \frac{1}{2^{d+1}} \cdot \varepsilon(x) \cdot(s(x)+t(x)) .
$$

The markers/suffixes show that all of these 2-simplices are different (so no cumulations of coefficients occur). Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|b\left(D^{\prime}\right)-b(D)\right\|_{n, p} & \leqslant \sum_{d=D+1}^{D^{\prime}} \frac{|W(d)|}{\left(2^{d+1}\right)^{p}} \cdot O\left(d^{2 n}\right) \\
& \leqslant \sum_{d=D+1}^{D^{\prime}} \frac{4^{d}}{\left(2^{d+1}\right)^{p}} \cdot O\left(d^{2 n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Because $p>2$, the corresponding series on the right-hand side is convergent. Therefore, these differences between its partial sums form a Cauchy sequence.

- The sequence $(\partial b(D))_{D \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy with respect to $\|-\|_{n, p}$ : Let $D, D^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}$ with $D^{\prime}>D \geqslant 0$ and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By construction, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial b\left(D^{\prime}\right)-\partial b(D) & =\sum_{d=D+1}^{D^{\prime}} \sum_{x \in W(d)} \frac{1}{2^{d+1}} \cdot \varepsilon(x) \cdot \partial(s(x)+t(x)) \\
& =\sum_{x \in W(D+1)} \frac{1}{2^{D+1}} \cdot \varepsilon(x) \cdot[e, x]-\sum_{y \in W\left(D^{\prime}+1\right)} \frac{1}{2^{D^{\prime}+1}} \cdot \varepsilon(y) \cdot[e, y] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The markers/suffixes show that all of these 1-simplices are different (so no cumulations of coefficients occur). Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\partial b\left(D^{\prime}\right)-\partial b(D)\right\|_{n, p} & \leqslant \frac{\left|W\left(D^{\prime}+1\right)\right|}{\left(2^{D^{\prime}+1}\right)^{p}} \cdot O\left(D^{\prime 2 n}\right)+\frac{|W(D+1)|}{\left(2^{D+1}\right)^{p}} \cdot O\left(D^{2 n}\right) \\
& \leqslant \frac{4^{D^{\prime}+1}}{\left(2^{D^{\prime}+1}\right)^{p}} \cdot O\left(D^{\prime 2 n}\right)+\frac{4^{D+1}}{\left(2^{D+1}\right)^{p}} \cdot O\left(D^{2 n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Because $p>2$, these terms converge to 0 for $D, D^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty$.
Thus, we have established that $b=\lim _{D \rightarrow \infty} b(D) \in C_{2}^{p}\left(F_{2}\right)$ is a well-defined chain. By a similar computation as the previous one for $\partial b\left(D^{\prime}\right)-\partial b(0)$, we have

$$
\partial b=[e, \alpha],
$$

as claimed.
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