

Theorem (fundamental theorem of Riem. geometry).

Let (M, g) be a Riem. mfd. Then there ex. a unique lin. connection ∇ on M with:

- ∇ is compatible with g

$$\nabla_x \langle Y, Z \rangle_g = \langle \nabla_x Y, Z \rangle_g + \langle Y, \nabla_x Z \rangle_g$$

- ∇ is symmetric

$$\nabla_x Y - \nabla_Y X = [X, Y]$$

We call ∇ the Levi-Civita connection of (M, g) .

Proof. ① Koszul formula: let ∇ be a lin. conn. on M that is compatible with g and symm.

Claim: For all $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM)$:

$$\langle \nabla_x Y, Z \rangle_g = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(X(\langle Y, Z \rangle_g) + Y(\langle Z, X \rangle_g) - Z(\langle X, Y \rangle_g) - \langle Y, [X, Z] \rangle_g - \langle Z, [Y, X] \rangle_g + \langle X, [Z, Y] \rangle_g \right)$$

independent of ∇

② From ①: we obtain uniqueness!
(g is pos. def.)

Proof of the Koszul formula:

$$X(\langle Y, Z \rangle_g) = \nabla_x (\langle Y, Z \rangle_g)$$

$$\nabla \text{ compatible with } g \rightarrow \langle \nabla_x Y, Z \rangle_g + \langle Y, \nabla_x Z \rangle_g$$

∇ symmetric $\rightarrow = \langle \nabla_x Y, Z \rangle_g + \langle Y, \nabla_z X \rangle_g + \langle Y, Z \nabla_x Z \rangle_g$

similarly: for YZX and ZXY .

$\leadsto \langle XY, Z \rangle + \langle YZ, X \rangle - \langle ZX, Y \rangle$

and solve for $\langle \nabla_x Y, Z \rangle_g$.

③ local coordinate frame: If ∇ is compatible with g and symmetric, then locally we obtain for the conn. coeffs:

$\forall i, j, k \quad \Gamma_{ij}^k = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \sum_{l=1}^n g^{kl} (E_i(g_{jl}) + E_j(g_{il}) - E_l(g_{ij}))$

Proof: We have **Christoffel symbols**

$\sum_{k=1}^n \Gamma_{ij}^k \cdot g_{kl} = \sum_{k=1}^n \Gamma_{ij}^k \cdot \langle E_k, E_l \rangle_g$

Def of ∇ $\rightarrow = \langle \nabla_{E_i} E_j, E_l \rangle_g$

① $\rightarrow = \frac{1}{2} \cdot (E_i(\langle E_j, E_l \rangle_g) + E_j(\langle E_l, E_i \rangle_g) - E_l(\langle E_i, E_j \rangle_g))$

+ terms involving **lie bracket of $E_i \dots$**

$= 0$ because $(E_i)_{i=1, \dots, n}$ are a coord frame

$= \frac{1}{2} \cdot (E_i(g_{jl}) + E_j(g_{il}) - E_l(g_{ij}))$

Now: apply the inverse matrix of $(g_{\alpha\beta})_{i,j}$.

④ Locally, using ③, we can construct lin. connections that satisfy ③. These local lin. conn. are:

- symmetric
 - compatible with g .
- (can be checked via the local characteristics)
Prop. 2.3.12, 2.3.8

⑤ Proof of existence: The local constructions of ④ are compatible by uniqueness.
 \rightarrow can be glued to show global existence. \square

Examples (Levi-Civita connections).

- The Euclidean lin. connection on \mathbb{R}^n (wrt Euclidean Riem. metric on \mathbb{R}^n).
- If $\pi \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a smooth subfld, then the subfld connection on π is the LC-conn. of M

(wrt. Riem. metric on π induced from the Euclidean Riem. metric on \mathbb{R}^n).

\rightarrow works for round spheres

Proposition. (Naturality of the Levi-Civita connection).

Let (M_1, g_1) , (M_2, g_2) be Riem. mfd. and
let $\varphi: (M_1, g_1) \rightarrow (M_2, g_2)$ be an isometry.
Then the LC-connections ∇^1, ∇^2 of M_1, M_2 ,
resp.; satisfy:

$$\varphi^* \nabla^2 = \nabla^1.$$

Proof. In view of uniqueness of LC-conn,
it suffices to show that $\varphi^* \nabla^2$ is
symmetric and compatible with g_1 .
(straightforward computation). \square

2.4 CURVATURE TENSORS

idea: we assign curvatures (\mathbb{R} -valued) to
2-dim subspaces of a Riem. mfd.
planes in tangent spaces

geometrically:

$$v, w \in T_x M \rightsquigarrow$$



- infinitesimal rectangles around x ,
spanned by v and w
- half of the difference between
parallel transport around these
rectangles and $\text{id}_{T_x M}$.
- measure this difference

hard to work with
 \rightarrow more efficient to organise this
 via LC-connection into a tensor field.

\rightarrow Riemannian curvature tensor
 (has many arguments and redundancies)

\rightarrow reductions:

- sectional curvature
- Ricci curvature
- scalar curvature

2.4.1 THE RIEMANNIAN CURVATURE TENSOR

Proposition & Definition. (the Riem. curvature
 $(3,1)$ -tensor).

Let (M, g) be a Riem. manifold with LC-conn ∇ .
 Then

$$R : \Gamma(TM)^{\times 3} \rightarrow \Gamma(TM)$$

$$(X, Y, Z) \mapsto \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z$$

depends!
 $\sim g!$

is $C^\infty(M)$ -multilinear.

The assoc. $(3,1)$ -tensor field on M is
 the Riem. curvature $(3,1)$ -tensor of (M, g) .

Proof. computation.

□

Example. (Euclidean space). Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\bar{\nabla}$ be the Euclid. lin. conn. on \mathbb{R}^n (this is the LC-connection for \mathbb{R}^n w.r.t. Euclidean Riem. metric).

Let $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(T\mathbb{R}^n)$ and let $(E_i)_i$ be the standard work. frame and we can write

$$Z = \sum_{i=1}^n z^i \cdot E_i \quad \text{with } z^i \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Then:

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y, Z) &= \bar{\nabla}_X \bar{\nabla}_Y Z - \bar{\nabla}_Y \bar{\nabla}_X Z - \bar{\nabla}_{[X, Y]} Z \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n Y(z^i) \cdot E_i \\ &= \bar{\nabla}_X \left(\sum_{i=1}^n Y(z^i) \cdot E_i \right) - \bar{\nabla}_Y \left(\sum_{i=1}^n X(z^i) \cdot E_i \right) - \bar{\nabla}_{[X, Y]} Z \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(X(Y(z^i)) \cdot E_i - Y(X(z^i)) \cdot E_i \right) - \sum_{i=1}^n [X, Y](z^i) \cdot E_i \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

