

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/315926866>

Pharmacological modulation of metabotropic glutamate receptor subtype 5 and 7 impairs extinction of...

Article in *Behavioural brain research* · April 2017

DOI: 10.1016/j.bbr.2017.04.010

CITATIONS

0

READS

26

4 authors:



David A Slattery

Goethe-Universität Frankfurt am Main

69 PUBLICATIONS 2,347 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Inga Neumann

Universität Regensburg

308 PUBLICATIONS 14,600 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Peter J Flor

Universität Regensburg

194 PUBLICATIONS 7,036 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Iulia Zoicas

Universitätsklinikum Erlangen

14 PUBLICATIONS 486 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Anxiolytic and anti-stress effects of prolactin [View project](#)



Metabotropic Glutamate Receptors [View project](#)



Pharmacological modulation of metabotropic glutamate receptor subtype 5 and 7 impairs extinction of social fear in a time-point-dependent manner



David A. Slattery^{a,b}, Inga D. Neumann^a, Peter J. Flor^a, Iulia Zoicas^{a,c,*}

^a Department of Behavioral and Molecular Neurobiology, University of Regensburg, Regensburg, Germany

^b Department of Psychiatry, Psychosomatic Medicine and Psychotherapy, University Hospital Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany

^c Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University Hospital, Friedrich-Alexander-University Erlangen-Nuremberg, Erlangen, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Social fear conditioning extinction
MPEP
AMN082
mGluR5
mGluR7

ABSTRACT

Pharmacological modulation of metabotropic glutamate receptor subtype 5 (mGluR5) and 7 (mGluR7) was shown to attenuate the acquisition and to facilitate the extinction of cued and contextual, non-social, fear. Using the allosteric mGluR5 antagonist 2-methyl-6-(phenylethynyl)-pyridine (MPEP) and the allosteric mGluR7 agonist *N,N'*-dibenzylhydri-ethane-1,2-diamine dihydrochloride (AMN082), we aimed to study how pharmacological blockade of mGluR5 and activation of mGluR7 influence acquisition and extinction of social fear in mice. We could show that when administered before social fear conditioning, neither MPEP nor AMN082 affected acquisition and extinction of social fear, suggesting that mGluR5 inactivation and mGluR7 activation do not alter social fear. However, when administered before social fear extinction, both MPEP and AMN082 impaired social fear extinction and extinction recall. These findings suggest that mGluR5 inactivation and mGluR7 activation are unlikely to prevent the formation of traumatic social memories. Furthermore, medication strategies aimed at augmenting exposure-based therapies for psychiatric disorders associated with social deficits via modulation of mGluR5 and mGluR7 must be pursued cautiously because of their potential to delay social fear extinction processes.

1. Introduction

Several psychiatric disorders, such as posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), panic disorder, specific phobias and social anxiety disorder (SAD) involve learned components. Memories triggered by trauma-associated cues induce fear and anxiety and contribute to the development and maintenance of symptoms. Such traumatic memories can also be induced experimentally by repeatedly pairing initially neutral cues (e.g. odors, tones, visual stimuli, social stimuli) with an unconditioned stimulus (e.g. physical punishment or onset of drug effect). Consequently, the neutral cue acquires the ability to elicit conditioned responses, such as fear and anxiety. Therapeutic approaches aimed at reducing the impact of trauma-associated cues in eliciting maladaptive fear and anxiety responses in psychiatric disorders are likely to be beneficial. The most efficient way to reduce conditioned responses is through the process of extinction, which usually involves repeated exposures to the conditioned stimulus in the absence of the adverse event it once predicted [1,2].

Research on the role of glutamate and its ionotropic and metabotropic (mGluR) receptors in extinction have led to the development of

pharmacotherapies which enhance the efficacy of extinction-based protocols in clinical populations [2]. For example, augmentation of exposure therapy with D-cycloserine, a ionotropic *N*-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor agonist, was shown to improve some anxiety symptoms in SAD [3,4], PTSD [5,6], panic disorder [7], and acrophobia [8]. Studies on the contribution of mGluRs to fear extinction have only appeared recently, and knowledge at this time is still limited. Pre-clinical research suggested that mGluRs may represent promising candidates for pharmacologically improving the outcome of exposure-based therapy. As such, negative allosteric modulation of mGluR5 with 2-methyl-6-(phenylethynyl)-pyridine (MPEP) demonstrated effects against acquisition and retention of conditioned fear [9–12]. Similarly, allosteric activation of the mGluR7 subtype with *N,N'*-dibenzylhydri-ethane-1,2-diamine dihydrochloride (AMN082) blocked acquisition of conditioned fear, but also facilitated extinction of conditioned aversion and fear in two amygdala-dependent paradigms (i.e. conditioned taste aversion and fear-potentiated startle) [13,14]. However, it is unclear, whether pharmacological modulation of mGluR5 and mGluR7 might also alter coping with, and recovery from, a traumatic social experience. Only a few studies investigated the effects of MPEP on social

* Corresponding author at: University Hospital, Friedrich-Alexander-University Erlangen-Nuremberg, Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Schwabachanlage 6, 91054 Erlangen, Germany.

E-mail addresses: david.slattery@kgu.de (D.A. Slattery), inga.neumann@ur.de (I.D. Neumann), peter.flor@ur.de (P.J. Flor), iulia.zoicas@uk-erlangen.de (I. Zoicas).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2017.04.010>

Received 10 February 2017; Received in revised form 3 April 2017; Accepted 5 April 2017

Available online 07 April 2017

0166-4328/ © 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

behaviors and most of these reported that MPEP has the potential to normalize deficits in social interaction. As such, MPEP decreased inter-male aggression and increased social investigation in highly aggressive OF1 mice [15]. It also increased social investigation in Balb/c mice [16], and mice lacking the excitatory synaptic signaling scaffold IRSp53 [17], which were shown to have deficits in social interaction and communication. However, MPEP did not improve social interaction deficits in autistic-like BTBR mice [18] and even decreased social investigation in Swiss Webster mice, which show normal social interaction [16]. So far, only one study investigated the effects of AMN082 on social behavior. In more detail, Navarro et al. [19] have shown that AMN082 decreased inter-male aggression without affecting social investigation in highly aggressive OF1 mice.

In the present study, we aimed to investigate whether pharmacological modulation of mGluR5 and mGluR7 affects acquisition and extinction of conditioned social fear in CD1 mice, which otherwise show normal social interaction [20–22]. In order to induce social fear, we used the social fear conditioning (SFC) paradigm, which was established to mimic the major behavioral symptoms of SAD, *i.e.* reduced social investigation and avoidance of conspecifics, as indicative of social fear [23,24]. In this model, social fear is induced by administration of mild electric foot shocks during the investigation of a conspecific. Importantly, treatment of socially fear-conditioned (SFC⁺) mice with medication used for SAD patients, such as diazepam and paroxetine, reversed social fear, providing predictive validity to the SFC model [23]. Repeated exposure of the SFC⁺ mice to unknown conspecifics leads to a gradual decline in the fear response, a process termed social fear extinction. MPEP or AMN082 were administered either prior to SFC (also referred to as acquisition) or social fear extinction in order to determine whether the drugs affected acquisition or extinction of social fear.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Animals

Male CD1 mice (Charles River, Sulzfeld, Germany, 30–35 g) were individually housed for 1 week and transferred to observation cages (30 × 23 × 36 cm) 3 days before experiments started. Age- and weight-matched male CD1 mice were used as social stimuli. Mice were kept under standard laboratory conditions (12:12 light/dark cycle, lights on at 06:00 h, 22 °C, 60% humidity, food and water *ad libitum*). Experiments were performed during the light phase, between 08:00 and 12:00, in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the Government of Oberpfalz and the guidelines of the NIH. All efforts were made to minimize animal suffering and to reduce the number of animals used.

2.2. Social fear conditioning (SFC) paradigm

SFC was performed with a computerized fear conditioning system (TSE System GmbH, Bad Homburg, Germany) as previously described [23,24]. Briefly, the conditioning chamber consisted of a transparent Perspex box (45 × 22 × 40 cm) enclosed in a wooden chamber to reduce external noise and visual stimulation. The floor consisted of a removable stainless steel grid connected to a shock delivery unit used for manual application of foot shocks. A video camera at the top of the chamber enabled video recording.

2.3. SFC (day 1)

For the acquisition of social fear, mice were placed in the conditioning chamber and, after a 30-s habituation period, an empty wire mesh cage (7 × 7 × 6 cm) was placed as a non-social stimulus near one of the short walls. After 3 min, the non-social stimulus was replaced by an identical cage containing an unfamiliar male mouse.

Unconditioned mice (SFC⁻) were allowed to investigate this social stimulus for 3 min, whereas conditioned mice (SFC⁺) were given a 1-s electric foot shock (0.7 mA) each time they investigated, *i.e.* made direct contact with the social stimulus. Mice received between 1 and 5 foot shocks, with a variable inter-shock interval, depending on when direct social contact was made. The number of foot shocks was assessed as a measure of distress. Mice were returned to their home cage when no further social contact was made for 2 min. The time mice spent investigating the non-social stimulus, as a pre-conditioning measure of non-social anxiety, was analyzed from videos by an observer blind to the treatment using the JWatcher program (V 1.0, Macquarie University and UCLA).

2.4. Social fear extinction (day 2)

To investigate whether SFC⁺ mice displayed social fear and whether this fear could be extinguished, social investigation was assessed in the home cage 1 day after SFC. Mice were exposed to 3 non-social stimuli, *i.e.* empty cages identical to the cage used on day 1, to assess non-social investigation as a parameter of non-social fear. Mice were then exposed to 6 unfamiliar social stimuli, *i.e.* male mice enclosed in wire mesh cages, to assess social investigation as a parameter of social fear. Each stimulus was placed near a short wall of the home cage and presented for 3 min, with a 3-min inter-exposure interval. Non-social investigation was defined as direct sniffing of the empty cage, whereas social investigation was defined as direct sniffing of the cage and/or of the social stimulus inside the cage.

2.5. Extinction recall (day 3)

To investigate whether repeated exposure to social stimuli during social fear extinction leads to a complete reversal of social fear, social investigation was assessed in the home cage 1 day later. Mice were exposed to 6 unfamiliar social stimuli for 3 min, with a 3-min inter-exposure interval, to assess social investigation as a parameter of social fear.

2.6. Drugs

MPEP and AMN082 (discovered by Novartis Pharma AG, Basel, Switzerland) were freshly dissolved in 0.5% methylcellulose (AMIMED, Allschwil, Switzerland) and administered intraperitoneally (*i.p.*) at a volume of 10 ml/kg. The doses of MPEP (10 mg/kg) and AMN082 (4 mg/kg) were chosen based on previous studies [19,25–27].

2.7. Statistical analysis

For statistical analysis PASW/SPSS (Version 21) was used. Data were analyzed by one-way (factor group) or three-way (factors conditioning × treatment × stimulus) ANOVA for repeated measures, followed by a Bonferroni's post-hoc analysis whenever appropriate. Statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

3. Results

3.1. Effects of MPEP and AMN082 administration prior to SFC on social fear

To investigate whether MPEP and AMN082 influence acquisition of social fear, mice ($n = 6/\text{group}$) were injected *i.p.* with either vehicle (Veh; 10 ml/kg 0.5% methylcellulose), MPEP, or AMN082 30 min before SFC (Fig. 1A).

Mice showed similar investigation of the non-social stimuli during SFC ($F(5,30) = 0.60$; $p = 0.70$), reflecting similar pre-conditioning non-social anxiety. All SFC⁺ mice received a similar number of foot shocks during SFC ($F(2,15) = 0.65$; $p = 0.54$), reflecting similar dis-




Fig. 1. MPEP and AMN082 do not affect social fear acquisition, expression, extinction or extinction recall when administered prior to social fear conditioning. (A) Schematic illustration of experimental procedure. Mice were individually housed for 1 week and transferred to observation cages 3 days before the experiment started. To investigate whether MPEP and AMN082 influence acquisition of social fear, mice were injected intraperitoneally (i.p.) with either vehicle (Veh; 10 ml/kg 0.5% methylcellulose), MPEP (10 mg/kg), or AMN082 (4 mg/kg) 30 min before social fear conditioning (SFC), and social fear extinction and extinction recall were performed 1 and 2 days later, respectively. Percentage investigation of the non-social (ns1–ns3) and social (s1–s6) stimuli by unconditioned (SFC[−]) and conditioned (SFC⁺) mice during social fear extinction (B) and extinction recall (C). Data represent means $\pm$ SEM. * $p < 0.05$ vs respective SFC[−] controls.

stress. During social fear extinction (conditioning effect $F(1,30) = 21.01$; $p < 0.001$; conditioning $\times$ treatment effect $F(2,30) = 0.08$; $p = 0.93$), all SFC⁺ mice showed similar non-social investigation, but reduced social investigation compared with their respective SFC[−] controls, independent of treatment ($p < 0.05$; Fig. 1B), reflecting social fear. During extinction recall (conditioning effect $F(1,30) = 4.76$; $p = 0.04$; conditioning $\times$ treatment effect $F(2,30) = 0.04$; $p = 0.97$), there was no difference in social investigation between SFC⁺ and SFC[−] mice, independent of treatment (Fig. 1C).

3.2. Effects of MPEP and AMN082 administration prior to social fear extinction on social fear

To investigate whether MPEP and AMN082 influence extinction of social fear, mice ($n = 6$ –8/group) were injected i.p. with either Veh, MPEP, or AMN082 30 min before social fear extinction (Fig. 2A).

Mice showed similar investigation of the non-social stimuli during SFC ($F(5,36) = 0.25$; $p = 0.94$), reflecting similar pre-conditioning non-social anxiety. All SFC⁺ mice received a similar number of foot shocks during SFC ($F(2,21) = 0.15$; $p = 0.86$), reflecting similar distress. During social fear extinction (conditioning effect $F(1,36) = 143.2$; $p < 0.001$; conditioning $\times$ treatment effect $F(2,36) = 3.31$; $p = 0.05$), all SFC⁺ mice showed similar non-social investigation, but reduced social investigation compared with their respective SFC[−] controls ($p < 0.05$; Fig. 2A), reflecting social fear. Furthermore, MPEP- and AMN082-treated SFC⁺ mice showed reduced social investigation compared with Veh-treated SFC⁺ mice starting from the third social stimulus, reflecting impaired social fear extinction ($p < 0.05$; Fig. 2B), but unaltered social fear expression. During extinction recall (conditioning effect $F(1,36) = 29.84$; $p < 0.001$; conditioning $\times$ treatment effect $F(2,36) = 1.38$; $p = 0.27$), MPEP- and AMN082-, but not Veh-treated SFC⁺ mice, still showed reduced social investigation

compared with their respective SFC[−] controls ($p < 0.05$; Fig. 2C).

4. Discussion

The present findings demonstrate, for the first time, that pharmacological modulation of mGluR5 and mGluR7 affects the extinction of conditioned social fear in a time-point-dependent manner. In more detail, we could show that when administered systemically before SFC neither MPEP nor AMN082 affected acquisition and extinction of social fear. In contrast, when administered before social fear extinction, both MPEP and AMN082 impaired social fear extinction and extinction recall. These findings have important clinical connotations as they suggest that mGluR5- and mGluR7-directed drugs might delay the extinction of traumatic social memories during exposure therapy.

The role of mGluR5 in social behavior appears to be complex, since administration of the mGluR5 antagonist MPEP has been shown to have both positive and negative effects depending on the strain studied. As such, MPEP increased social investigation in Balb/c and OF1 mice [15,16], did not affect social interaction in autistic-like BTBR mice [18], and even decreased social investigation in Swiss Webster mice [16]. In the present study, we show that administration of MPEP did not affect social investigation in SFC[−] CD1 mice (Figs. 1 and 2). A recent study by Mesic et al. [28] demonstrated that conditional knockdown of mGluR5 specifically within the lateral septum decreased social preference, but not preference for social novelty. Therefore, it would be of interest to determine whether acute pharmacological manipulation of mGluR5 within this region would affect social investigation in our paradigm.

In contrast, in SFC⁺ mice, administration of MPEP affected extinction, but not acquisition and expression of social fear, in a time-point dependent manner. In more detail, when administered prior to SFC, MPEP did not affect the number of foot shocks that mice received nor




Fig. 2. MPEP and AMN082 impair social fear extinction and extinction recall when administered prior to social fear extinction. (A) Schematic illustration of experimental procedure. Mice were individually housed for 1 week and transferred to observation cages 3 days before the experiment started. To investigate whether MPEP and AMN082 influence extinction of social fear, mice were exposed to social fear conditioning (SFC) and, one day later, 30 min before social fear extinction, were injected intraperitoneally (i.p.) with either vehicle (Veh; 10 ml/kg 0.5% methylcellulose), MPEP (10 mg/kg), or AMN082 (4 mg/kg). Percentage investigation of the non-social (ns1–ns3) and social (s1–s6) stimuli by unconditioned (SFC⁻) and conditioned (SFC⁺) mice during social fear extinction (B) and extinction recall (C). Data represent means ± SEM. *p < 0.05 vs SFC⁺/Veh mice; #p < 0.05 vs respective SFC⁻ controls.

social fear extinction or extinction recall. However, when MPEP was administered prior to social fear extinction, mice showed impaired social fear extinction and extinction recall. While MPEP did not affect acquisition of social fear in the present study, it has previously been shown to impair acquisition in a fear-potentiated startle paradigm [9] and the acquisition of cued and contextual fear when administered into the lateral amygdala [29]. When administered prior to testing, MPEP did not affect expression of cued and contextual fear [29], supporting our results showing that MPEP did not affect expression of social fear (Fig. 2). However, Schulz et al., [9] have shown that MPEP impairs fear expression in a fear-potentiated startle paradigm when administered before testing. We and others have shown that systemic administration of MPEP prior to cued fear extinction does not affect extinction [12,27]; although administration of an mGluR5 positive allosteric modulator has been shown to facilitate extinction learning of drug-seeking behavior [30,31]. Therefore, it appears that differences either in the form of conditioning (e.g. classical versus operant) or the valence of the cue (non-social versus social) contribute to the differential role of mGluR5 during fear acquisition, expression and extinction. Another interesting possibility, given the findings described above from Mesic et al., [28], is that SFC may alter mGluR5 expression or signaling within the lateral septum. We have previously shown this to be an important brain region for social fear extinction [20], and any change in mGluR5 activity here may enable MPEP to exert its impairing effect on social fear extinction.

Even less is known with respect to the role of mGluR7 in social behavior. A recent study could reveal a role of the receptor, particularly within the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis (BNST), in inter-male aggression [32]. In more detail, mGluR7 knockout mice were shown to display reduced levels of aggression, which were related to a reduced olfactory input of male urine to the BNST as assessed using c-Fos immunoreactivity. Administration of MMPIP, an mGluR7 antagonist, into the BNST, but not into the lateral ventricles, replicated these

findings in wildtype mice suggesting that it is not a compensatory effect in the full knockouts but a specific role of mGluR7 within the BNST. Interestingly, in the present study, administration of the mGluR7 agonist, AMN082, resulted in the same behavioral profile as that observed following MPEP administration. Thus, AMN082 did not affect social investigation in SFC⁻ mice nor social fear extinction or extinction recall in SFC⁺ mice when administered prior to SFC, but impaired extinction and extinction recall in SFC⁺ mice when administered prior to social fear extinction (Figs. 1 and 2).

Similar to MPEP, the effect of AMN082 administration on acquisition of social fear partly supports previous reports. Thus, both systemic [13] and intra-basolateral amygdala administration [14] of AMN082 have been shown to attenuate fear acquisition in a fear-potentiated startle paradigm. Thus, differences in the learning paradigm or the valence of the cue (non-social versus social) may explain the apparent different roles of mGluR5 and mGluR7 in the acquisition of fear. As distinct doses of MPEP and AMN082 might differentially affect acquisition and extinction of social fear, a possible limitation of this study is the use of single doses of MPEP and AMN082 to investigate their effects on acquisition of social fear. We have previously demonstrated that AMN082 impairs extinction of cued fear when administered prior to cued fear extinction [27], which is in keeping with the findings in the present study. Interestingly, this effect may be related to blockade of serotonin and catecholamine transporters by an active metabolite of AMN082, *N*-benzhydrylethane-1,2-diamine (Met-1) [33], as selective reuptake inhibitors have been shown to impair cued and contextual fear extinction [34–36]. Given that brain and plasma AMN082 levels peak 30 min after AMN082 treatment while Met-1 levels continue to increase in the brain between 1 h and 3 h after AMN082 treatment [33], the observed effects on social fear extinction might be related to synergistic effects of AMN082 and its active metabolite Met-1.

Taken together, we have shown that pharmacological blockade of mGluR5 and activation of mGluR7, while not affecting baseline social investigation and acquisition of social fear at the doses tested, impair extinction of social fear. These findings suggest that mGluR5 inactivation and mGluR7 activation are unlikely to prevent the formation of traumatic social memories. Furthermore, medication strategies aimed at augmenting exposure-based therapies for psychiatric disorders associated with social deficits *via* modulation of mGluR5 and mGluR7 must be pursued cautiously because of their potential to delay social fear extinction processes.

Acknowledgement

I.Z. received financial support from the Bayerische Forschungsförderung.

References

- [1] B.O. Rothbaum, M. Davis, Applying learning principles to the treatment of post-trauma reactions, *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 1008 (2003) 112–121.
- [2] K.M. Myers, W.A. Carlezon Jr., M. Davis, Glutamate receptors in extinction and extinction-based therapies for psychiatric illness, *Neuropsychopharmacology* 36 (1) (2011) 274–293.
- [3] S.G. Hofmann, S.M. Schulz, A.E. Meuret, D.A. Moscovitch, M. Suvak, Sudden gains during therapy of social phobia, *J. Consult. Clin. Psychol.* 74 (2006) 687–697.
- [4] A.J. Guastella, R. Richardson, P.F. Lovibond, R.M. Rapee, J.E. Gaston, P. Mitchell, M.R. Dadds, A randomized controlled trial of D-cycloserine enhancement of exposure therapy for social anxiety disorder, *Biol. Psychiatry* 63 (2008) 544–549.
- [5] U. Heresco-Levy, I. Kremer, D.C. Javitt, R. Goichman, A. Reshef, M. Blanzaru, T. Cohen, Pilot-controlled trial of D-cycloserine for the treatment of post-traumatic stress disorder, *Int. J. Neuropsychopharmacol.* 5 (4) (2002) 301–307.
- [6] R.A. de Kleine, G.J. Hendriks, W.J. Kusters, T.G. Broekman, A. van Minnen, A randomized placebo-controlled trial of D-cycloserine to enhance exposure therapy for posttraumatic stress disorder, *Biol. Psychiatry* 71 (11) (2012) 962–968.
- [7] M.W. Otto, D.F. Tolin, N.M. Simon, G.D. Pearlson, S. Basden, S.A. Meunier, S.G. Hofmann, K. Eisenmenger, J.K. Krystal, M.H. Pollack, Efficacy of D-cycloserine for enhancing response to cognitive-behavior therapy for panic disorder, *Biol. Psychiatry* 67 (2010) 365–370.
- [8] K.J. Ressler, B.O. Rothbaum, L. Tannenbaum, P. Anderson, K. Graap, E. Zimand, L. Hodges, M. Davis, Cognitive enhancers as adjuncts to psychotherapy: use of D-cycloserine in phobic individuals to facilitate extinction of fear, *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* 61 (2004) 1136–1144.
- [9] B. Schulz, M. Fendt, F. Gasparini, K. Lingenhohl, R. Kuhn, M. Koch, The metabotropic glutamate receptor antagonist 2-methyl-6-(phenylethynyl)-pyridine (MPEP) blocks fear conditioning in rats, *Neuropharmacology* 41 (2001) 1–7.
- [10] J. Kim, S. Lee, H. Park, B. Song, I. Hong, D. Geum, K. Shin, S. Choi, Blockade of amygdala metabotropic glutamate receptor subtype 1 impairs fear extinction, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 355 (2007) 188–193.
- [11] J. Xu, Y. Zhu, A. Contractor, S.F. Heinemann, mGluR5 has a critical role in inhibitory learning, *J. Neurosci.* 29 (2009) 3676–3684.
- [12] D.E. Fontanez-Nuin, E. Santini, G.J. Quirk, J.T. Porter, Memory for fear extinction requires mGluR5-mediated activation of infralimbic neurons, *Cereb. Cortex* 21 (3) (2010) 727–735.
- [13] M. Fendt, S. Schmid, D.R. Thakker, L.H. Jacobson, R. Yamamoto, K. Mitsuoka, R. Maier, F. Natt, D. Husken, P.H. Kelly, K.H. McAllister, D. Hoyer, H. vanderPutten, J.F. Cryan, P.J. Flor, mGluR7 facilitates extinction of aversive memories and controls amygdala plasticity, *Mol. Psychiatry* 13 (2008) 970–979.
- [14] S. Siegl, P.J. Flor, M. Fendt, Amygdaloid metabotropic glutamate receptor subtype 7 is involved in the acquisition of conditioned fear, *Neuroreport* 19 (2008) 1147–1150.
- [15] J.F. Navarro, D. Postigo, M. Martín, E. Burón, Antiaggressive effects of MPEP, a selective antagonist of mGluR5 receptors, in agonistic interactions between male mice, *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 551 (1–3) (2006) 67–70.
- [16] J.A. Burket, A.L. Herndon, E.E. Winebarger, L.F. Jacome, S.I. Deutsch, Complex effects of mGluR5 antagonism on sociability and stereotypic behaviors in mice: possible implications for the pharmacotherapy of autism spectrum disorders, *Brain Res. Bull.* 86 (3–4) (2011) 152–158.
- [17] W. Chung, S.Y. Choi, E. Lee, H. Park, J. Kang, H. Park, Y. Choi, D. Lee, S.G. Park, R. Kim, Y.S. Cho, J. Choi, M.H. Kim, J.W. Lee, S. Lee, I. Rhim, M.W. Jung, D. Kim, Y.C. Bae, E. Kim, Social deficits in IRSp53 mutant mice improved by NMDAR and mGluR5 suppression, *Nat. Neurosci.* 18 (3) (2015) 435–443.
- [18] J.L. Silverman, S.S. Tolu, C.L. Barkan, J.N. Crawley, Repetitive self-grooming behavior in the BTBR mouse model of autism is blocked by the mGluR5 antagonist MPEP, *Neuropsychopharmacology* 35 (4) (2010) 976–989.
- [19] J.F. Navarro, V. de Castro, M. Martín-López, Behavioural profile of selective ligands for mGluR7 and mGluR8 glutamate receptors in agonistic encounters between mice, *Psicothema* 21 (3) (2009) 475–479.
- [20] I. Zoicas, D.A. Slattery, I.D. Neumann, Brain oxytocin in social fear conditioning and its extinction: involvement of the lateral septum, *Neuropsychopharmacology* 39 (13) (2014) 3027–3035.
- [21] I. Zoicas, R. Menon, I.D. Neumann, Neuropeptide S reduces fear and avoidance of conspecifics induced by social fear conditioning and social defeat, respectively, *Neuropharmacology* 108 (2016) 284–291.
- [22] I. Zoicas, I.D. Neumann, Maternal separation facilitates extinction of social fear in adult male mice, *Behav. Brain Res.* 297 (2016) 323–328.
- [23] I. Toth, I.D. Neumann, D.A. Slattery, Social fear conditioning: a novel and specific animal model to study social anxiety disorder, *Neuropsychopharmacol.* 37 (6) (2012) 1433–1443.
- [24] I. Toth, I.D. Neumann, D.A. Slattery, Social fear conditioning as an animal model of social anxiety disorder, *Curr. Protoc. Neurosci.* 42 (2013), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/0471142301.ns0942s63> (Chapter 9: Unit 9).
- [25] T. Gupta, Y.M. Syed, A.A. Revis, S.A. Miller, M. Martinez, K.A. Cohn, M.R. Demeyer, K.Y. Patel, W.J. Brzezinska, J.S. Rhodes, Acute effects of acamprosate and MPEP on ethanol Drinking-in-the-Dark in male C57BL/6J mice, *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* 32 (11) (2008) 1992–1998.
- [26] A.R. Salomons, N.E. Pinzon, H. Boleij, S. Kirchhoff, S.S. Arndt, R.E. Nordquist, L. Lindemann, G. Jaeschke, W. Spooren, F. Ohl, Differential effects of diazepam and MPEP on habituation and neuro-behavioural processes in inbred mice, *Behav. Brain Funct.* 8 (2012) 30.
- [27] I. Toth, M. Dietz, D. Peterlik, S.E. Huber, M. Fendt, I.D. Neumann, P.J. Flor, D.A. Slattery, Pharmacological interference with metabotropic glutamate receptor subtype 7 but not subtype 5 differentially affects within- and between-session extinction of Pavlovian conditioned fear, *Neuropharmacology* 62 (4) (2012) 1619–1626.
- [28] I. Mesic, Y.F. Guzman, A.L. Guedea, V. Jovasevic, K.A. Corcoran, K. Leaderbrand, K. Nishimori, A. Contractor, J. Radulovic, Double dissociation of the roles of metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 and oxytocin receptor in discrete social behaviors, *Neuropsychopharmacology* 40 (2015) 2337–2346.
- [29] S.M. Rodrigues, E.P. Bauer, C.R. Farb, G.E. Schafe, J.E. LeDoux, The group I metabotropic glutamate receptor mGluR5 is required for fear memory formation and long-term potentiation in the lateral amygdala, *J. Neurosci.* 22 (2002) 5219–5229.
- [30] R.M. Clewa, M.P. Hicks, J.T. Gass, K.C. Wischerath, E.T. Plasters, J.J. Widholm, M.F. Olive, mGluR5 positive allosteric modulation enhances extinction learning following cocaine self-administration, *Behav. Neurosci.* 125 (2011) 10–19.
- [31] P.R. Kufahl, L.E. Hood, N.E. Nemirovsky, P. Barabas, C. Halstengard, A. Villa, E. Moore, L.R. Watterson, M.F. Olive, Positive allosteric modulation of mGluR5 accelerates extinction learning but not relearning following methamphetamine self-administration, *Front. Pharmacol.* 3 (2012) 194.
- [32] M. Masugi-Tokita, P.J. Flor, M. Kawata, Metabotropic glutamate receptor subtype 7 in the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis is essential for intermale aggression, *Neuropsychopharmacology* 41 (2016) 726–735.
- [33] S.J. Sukoff Rizzo, S.K. Leonard, A. Gilbert, P. Dollings, D.L. Smith, M.Y. Zhang, L. Di, B.J. Platt, S. Neal, J.M. Dwyer, C.N. Bender, J. Zhang, T. Lock, D. Kowal, A. Kramer, A. Randall, C. Husek, K. Vishwanathan, S.Y. Tse, J. Butera, R.H. Ring, S. Rosenzweig-Lipson, Z.A. Hughes, J. Dunlop, The metabotropic glutamate receptor 7 allosteric modulator AMN082: a monoaminergic agent in disguise? *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 338 (2011) 345–352.
- [34] N.S. Burghardt, D.E. Bus, B.S. McEwen, J.E. LeDoux, Acute selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors increase conditioned fear expression: blockade with a 5-HT(2C) receptor antagonist, *Biol. Psychiatry* 62 (2007) 1111–1118.
- [35] N.S. Burghardt, G.M. Sullivan, B.S. McEwen, J.M. Gorman, J.E. LeDoux, The selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor citalopram increases fear after acute treatment but reduces fear with chronic treatment: a comparison with tianeptine, *Biol. Psychiatry* 55 (2004) 1171–1178.
- [36] J.M. Santos, R.C. Martinez, M.L. Brandao, Effects of acute and subchronic treatments with fluoxetine and desipramine on the memory of fear in moderate and high-intensity contextual conditioning, *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 542 (2006) 121–128.